

Locally Testable Cyclic Codes

László Babai*

Amir Shpilka†

Daniel Štefankovič‡

Abstract

Cyclic linear codes of block length n over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q are linear subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n that are invariant under a cyclic shift of their coordinates. A family of codes is good if all the codes in the family have constant rate and constant normalized distance (distance divided by block length). It is a long-standing open problem whether there exists a good family of cyclic linear codes (cf. [MS, p.270]).

A code C is r -testable if there exists a randomized algorithm which, given a word $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, adaptively selects r positions, checks the entries of x in the selected positions, and makes a decision (accept or reject x) based on the positions selected and the numbers found, such that

(i) if $x \in C$ then x is surely accepted;

(ii) if $\text{dist}(x, C) \geq \epsilon n$ then x is probably rejected. (“dist” refers to Hamming distance.)

A family of codes is locally testable if all members of the family are r -testable for some constant r . This concept arose from holographic proofs/PCPs. Goldreich and Sudan [GS] asked whether there exist good, locally testable families of codes.

In this paper we address the intersection of the two questions stated.

Theorem. There are no good, locally testable families of cyclic codes over any (fixed) finite field.

*Department of Computer Science, University of Chicago, 1100 East 58th Street, Chicago, IL 60637, and Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Science, Budapest. Email: laci@cs.uchicago.edu

†Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, and Laboratory for Computer Science, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139. Email: amirs@deas.harvard.edu. This research was supported by the National Security Agency (NSA) and Advanced Research and Development Activity (ARDA) under Army Research Office (ARO) contract no. DAAD19-01-1-0506.

‡Department of Computer Science, University of Chicago, 1100 East 58th Street, Chicago, IL 60637, and Department of Computer Science, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia. Email: stefanko@cs.uchicago.edu

In fact our result is stronger in that it replaces condition (ii) of local testability by the condition

(ii') if $\text{dist}(x, C) \geq \epsilon n$ then x has a positive chance of being rejected.

The proof involves methods from Galois theory, cycotomy, and diophantine approximation.

1 Introduction

All codes discussed in this paper are **linear**. We study rate/distance tradeoffs for locally testable cyclic codes. A family of codes is *good* if the codes in the family have constant rate and constant normalized distance.

An example of a class of locally testable cyclic codes is the Hadamard codes [BILR]. However, these codes have logarithmic information rate and are therefore far from being good.

It is a classical open question whether there exist good families of cyclic codes (see ([MS, p.270], Research Problem (9.2)). Extending results by Berman [Ber], we prove the nonexistence of good families of cyclic codes for a large class of block lengths, including block lengths with a large “smooth” divisor (a smooth number has no large prime divisors) (Theorems 1.9 and 5.1).

Recently Goldreich and Sudan asked whether there exist good locally testable codes [GS]. Our main result is that locally testable *cyclic* codes cannot be good. In view of the general tradeoffs we establish for cyclic codes, the critical remaining case is when the block length has a large prime divisor. In this case we use diophantine approximation to establish a tradeoff involving the testability parameter. Our results require only a considerably weaker property than local testability: words that are far from the code are only required to have a *positive* chance of rejection (the chance may not be bounded away from zero). We formalize this concept and state the main results in Subsection 1.2.

1.1 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we shall use the following notation. Let p be a prime and $\ell \geq 1$. Let $q = p^\ell$, the order of the field \mathbb{F}_q . A linear code C of length (“block length”) n over \mathbb{F}_q is a subspace $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$. The dimension $\dim(C)$ is referred to as *the number of information bits*. The ratio $\text{rate}(C) := \dim(C)/n$ is the *rate* of C . We say that a family of codes $C_i \leq \mathbb{F}_q^{n_i}$ has *constant rate* if $\text{rate}(C_i) = \Omega(1)$, i.e., if $\dim(C_i) = \Omega(n_i)$. The *weight* $\text{wt}(w)$ of a “word” $w \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is the number of nonzero entries of w . The *distance* of the code C is

$$\text{dist}(C) = \min_{w \in C, w \neq 0} \text{wt}(w),$$

the minimum weight of non-zero codewords. The *normalized distance* of C is the quotient $\text{dist}(C)/n$.

We say that $\{C_i\}$ is a family of *good codes* if both the rates and the normalized distances of the C_i are bounded away from zero (i.e., these quantities are $\Omega(1)$).

A code is *cyclic* if it is invariant under the cyclic shift of the coordinates, i.e., $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in C \Leftrightarrow (a_{n-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{n-2}) \in C$.

Cyclic codes have a voluminous literature; several of the well-known families of classical codes are cyclic (BCH codes, Reed-Muller codes).

1.2 Weakly locally testable codes: the main results

For $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ we use $u \cdot v$ to denote $\sum_i u_i v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ (inner product over \mathbb{F}_q). For $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$, the dual subspace is $C^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid (\forall w \in C)(x \cdot w = 0)\}$.

Definition 1.1 An r -*tester* for a linear code on input x randomly selects r positions i_1, \dots, i_r ($1 \leq i_j \leq n$) and checks the corresponding entries in x . (i_j depends only on the pairs (i_k, x_{i_k}) , $1 \leq k \leq j-1$, and on the random bits). Then it chooses a Boolean function $f : \mathbb{F}_q^r \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, at random from a probability distribution that may depend on the sequence (i_k, x_{i_k}) , and *accepts* x if $f(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}) = 1$.

- (i) An r -tester is *complete* if it surely accepts each $w \in C$;
- (ii) An r -tester is *weakly sound* if for all $w \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, if w is surely accepted then $\text{dist}(w, C) < \text{dist}(C)/3$.

A linear code C is *weakly r -testable* if C has a complete and weakly sound r -tester.

Remark 1.2 As Ben Sasson et. al [BeGS] point out, in the case of linear codes we may assume that the test functions f are linear, i.e., $f(x) = 1$ ($x \in \mathbb{F}_q^r$) iff $x \cdot \alpha = 0$ for a given vector $\alpha = \alpha(f) \in \mathbb{F}_q^r$. We shall not use this observation.

Definition 1.3 A family of codes is *weakly locally testable* if for some constant r , all codes in the family are weakly r -testable.

Remark 1.4 This is clearly a weaker condition than local testability: we don’t require that words distant from C to be rejected with constant probability, only with positive probability.

Goldreich and Sudan ask whether there exist good, locally testable codes [GS]. We give a partial answer to this question; our result may support the view that some of the complications [GS] go through (repeated concatenation steps, PCPs) to build their (nearly linear) locally testable codes may be inevitable.

Theorem 1.5 Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field. There are no good, weakly locally testable cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_q .

This result will be an immediate consequence of the following tradeoff. Recall that for a *good code*, both $\dim(C)$ and $\text{dist}(C)$ must be $\Omega(n)$.

Theorem 1.6 Let q be a prime power. There is a constant $c = c(q)$ such that for any weakly r -testable cyclic code C over \mathbb{F}_q either

- $\dim(C) \leq cn / (\log n \log \log n)^{\frac{1}{2(r-1)}}$; or
- $\text{dist}(C) \leq cn / (\log n \log \log n)^{1/2}$.

Under a widely accepted number theoretic hypothesis (about “Wieferich primes”) we obtain a better tradeoff for binary codes.

Conjecture 1.7 For all primes p ,

$$2^{p-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}.$$

This conjecture has been verified for all primes $\leq 10^{12}$.

Theorem 1.8 Let C be a weakly r -testable binary cyclic code. If Conjecture 1.7 holds then either

- $\dim(C) \leq cn / (\log n)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}$; or
- $\text{dist}(C) \leq cn / \log n$,

where c is an absolute constant.

1.3 Cyclic codes

Cyclic codes were first defined by Prange [Pr] in 1957. Since then many families of cyclic codes have been found and bounds on the rate and distance of cyclic codes were proved. In particular several of the well-known classical codes are cyclic (BCH codes, Reed-Muller codes). The monograph [MS] is a good source on cyclic codes; the question of existence of good families of cyclic codes was also formalized there (page 270). The problem is still open; very little seems to have happened in this area since an important 1967 paper by S.D. Berman [Ber]. Berman deals with the semisimple case (when p and n are relatively prime) and assumes that all primes dividing n are bounded. We extend Berman's result in several directions: we drop the semisimplicity assumption; permit the primes to grow slowly while obtaining explicit tradeoffs; and allow n to have a large non-smooth divisor m (up to $m \leq n^{1/2-\epsilon}$). However, in spite of these extensions, the basic ingredients from cyclotomy in our proof are not significantly different from Berman's.

The following is our main tradeoff for cyclic codes (without testability assumption).

Theorem 1.9 *Let $q = p^\ell$ be a prime power. Let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k} m$ where $p_i \leq B$. Let C be a cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . Then*

$$\text{dist}(C) \dim(C) \leq \ell \cdot n^{1+1.1/\ln \ln n} m^2 p^{B^2/\ln B}.$$

Note that $p_i = p$ is permitted in this result.

For bounded primes, our proof yields the following result.

Theorem 1.10 *Let p_1, \dots, p_k be fixed primes (not necessarily different from p). For every ρ , $0 < \rho < 1$ there exist c_ρ such that if C is a cyclic code of length $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ with $\dim(C) \geq \rho n$ over \mathbb{F}_q then $\text{dist}(C) \leq c_\rho$.*

Berman's result is identical with this, with the slight difference that he requires the extra condition $p_i \neq p$. We prove Theorems 1.9 and 1.10 in Section 5.

Another by-product of our proof is the following explicit tradeoff related to the powers of p dividing n .

Theorem 1.11 *Let $q = p^\ell$ be a prime power. Let $n = p^s m$. Let C be a cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . Then*

$$\dim(C) \cdot \text{dist}(C) \leq pmn.$$

We give the one-paragraph proof in Section 3. The following corollary to this result (with a rather more complicated proof) appeared in 1991 in Castagnoli et al. [CaMSS].

Corollary 1.12 (Theorem 3 of [CaMSS]) *Let $\{C_i\}$ be a family of codes over \mathbb{F}_q ($q = p^\ell$). Let C_i have block length $n_i = p^{s_i}$. If the s_i are unbounded then the family $\{C_i\}$ is not good.*

Proof: By Theorem 1.11, $\dim(C_i) \cdot \text{dist}(C_i) \leq pm_i n_i = n_i^2 / p^{s_i-1} \neq \Omega(n_i^2)$ as would be the case for a good family. \square

Remark 1.13 In view of these results, the search for good cyclic codes should focus on prime block length. Mersenne primes n would seem like prime candidates over \mathbb{F}_2 because for them, $x^n - 1$ has the largest number of factors.

1.4 Locally testable codes

A code C is *r-testable* if there exists a randomized algorithm which, given a word $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, adaptively selects r positions, checks the entries of x in the selected positions, and makes a decision (accept or reject x) based on the numbers found on the positions selected, such that

- (i) if $x \in C$ then x is surely accepted;
- (ii) if $\text{dist}(x, C) \geq \epsilon n$ then x is rejected with a constant probability ("dist" refers to Hamming distance).

A family of codes is *locally testable* if there exists a constant r such that all members of the family are r -testable.

r -testable codes for very small values of r first arose from the analysis of the codes used as the "exterior hulls" of holographic proofs. Thus, as [GS] point out, suitable (nontrivial) modification of [BaFL] yields codes that are polylog-testable and have quasipolynomial length as a function of the number b of information bits. The length of the code was reduced to nearly linear ($n = b^{1+\epsilon}$) in [BaFLS] while retaining its polylog-testability. ([BaFLS] has a correctable error; the proof as stated there yields nearly quadratic length.) The seminal PCP paper by Arora et al. [ArLMSS] can be adapted to yield constant-testable codes of polynomial length.

The testing length was reduced to 3 bits by Blum, Luby and Rubinfeld [BLR] at the cost of exponential length (Hadamard code).

Friedl and Sudan [FS] were the first to formally define locally testable codes. [FS] also gave a family of locally testable codes of nearly quadratic length over a large alphabet.

The code of [FS] is constructed as follows. The messages are polynomials of degree d in m variables over the field \mathbb{F}_q ; both m and q bounded by $d^{O(1)}$. A codeword is the set of restrictions of the message to each affine line in \mathbb{F}_q^m , that is, for every affine line in \mathbb{F}_q^m we have a coordinate in the codeword, in which we write the degree d polynomial which is the restriction of the message to that line. So this code uses an alphabet of size q^{d+1} (one “letter” for each univariate polynomial of degree $\leq d$ over \mathbb{F}_q).

Starting from the [FS] codes, Goldreich and Sudan [GS] give two constructions of locally testable *binary* codes. In the first construction they first restrict the [FS] code a random subset of coordinates (which corresponds to taking a random subset of affine lines); then they concatenate the code with a Reed-Muller-type code, and finally concatenate it with the Hadamard code. In this construction the length is $n = b^{1+\epsilon}$ (any $\epsilon > 0$ can be achieved). In the second construction Goldreich and Sudan again restrict the [FS] code a random subset of coordinates, but now instead of concatenating the code, they reduce the alphabet size by using PCP’s. This construction gives a locally testable code of nearly linear length ($n = b^{1+o(1)}$). Both constructions are randomized. These constructions were derandomized by Ben Sasson et al. [BeSVW].

Goldreich and Sudan [GS] also note, that, while the codes constituting the outer layer of known holographic proofs/probabilistically checkable proofs are not in themselves locally testable, they can be modified (nontrivially) to yield locally testable codes.

Finally, Goldreich and Sudan raise the problem we partially address here: do there exist *good* locally testable codes?

In a recent paper, Ben Sasson et al. [BeGS] study locally testable codes with 2 queries. They show that any *linear* locally testable code with 2 queries, over a finite alphabet, that has a linear distance, contains at most a *constant* number of codewords. This result also holds for nonlinear binary codes. However, if the alphabet size is larger than 2 then there exist nonlinear locally testable codes with 2 queries, of nearly linear length.

Local decodability, a strengthening of the concept of local testability, has been studied in [GKST],[KaT],[DJKLR],[KdW]. Stronger tradeoffs than ours are obtained by these authors under this stronger assumption; as shown in [GS], such strong tradeoffs do not hold for locally testable codes.

In addition to PCPs, local testability and local decodability arise in several contexts in computational complexity and cryptography, including self-testing/correcting computations, pseudorandom generators, private information retrieval, fault-tolerant data storage. For further literature on these connections we refer to the bibliographies of [KaT, DJKLR].

1.5 Organization of the paper

In Section 2 we give the basic tools for dealing with cyclic codes. In Section 3 we prove the easy case where n is divisible by a high power of the characteristic of the field. This proof gives some intuition for the later proofs. In Section 4 we give some information about cyclotomic polynomials over finite fields that we will use in Section 5 for proving our main result on cyclic codes (without local testability assumptions). In Section 6 we show how to improve our tradeoff for binary codes under a number theoretic conjecture. The main contributions of the paper follow in Sections 7, 8 where we use the local testability assumption through a diophantine approximation argument to conclude the proof of our main tradeoff result.

2 Cyclic codes and polynomials

In this section we review the polynomial ring machinery used to handle cyclic codes. For more details we refer to [MS].

Let $q = p^\ell$ be a prime power. Let $R = \mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1)$. It is known that R is a principal ideal domain. With the vector $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ we associate the polynomials $f_a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \in R$ and $f_a^*(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^{n-i-1} \in R$.

Let $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a cyclic code. Let $I_C = \{f_a : a \in C\}$ and $J_C = \{f_a^* : a \in C^\perp\}$. Then I_C and J_C are ideals in R . As R is a principal ideal domain we have that $I_C = (g)$ where $g \mid x^n - 1$; we call g the *generator polynomial* of C . So $a \in C \Leftrightarrow g \mid f_a$. Let $h = (x^n - 1)/g$; then $J_C = (h)$ and $a \in C \Leftrightarrow x^n - 1 \mid (h \cdot f_a)$. We call h the *parity check polynomial* for C .

Clearly, $\dim(C) = \deg(h)$. So C has constant rate if and only if $\deg(h) = \Omega(n)$.

For $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ let $\text{wt}(f)$ be the number of nonzero terms in f . The standard representative of $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(x^n - 1)$ is $f \bmod (x^n - 1)$.

We shall use the following properties of the weight function.

Observation 2.1 For any $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$,

- $f(x)^p = f(x^p)$;

- $\text{wt}(f^p) = \text{wt}(f)$;
- $\text{wt}(fg) \leq \text{wt}(f)\text{wt}(g)$;
- $\text{wt}(f \bmod (x^n - 1)) \leq \text{wt}(f)$.

The distance of C can be expressed as follows:

$$\text{dist}(C) = \min\{\text{wt}(f) : f \neq 0, hf \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}\} = \min\{\text{wt}(gf) : f \neq 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}, \deg(f) < \deg(h)\}.$$

The *testing weight* of C is the smallest r such that there exist polynomials h_1, \dots, h_ℓ such that $\text{wt}(h_i) \leq r$ and h_1, \dots, h_ℓ generate the same ideal as h in R . We use $\text{test}(h)$ to denote $\text{test}(C)$.

3 High powers of p

In this section we prove Theorem 1.11, the tradeoff for the case when n is divisible by a high power of p . No local testability assumption will be made in this section. The idea is to use the factorization of h , the parity check polynomial of C , to construct a codeword of small weight. The fact that $n = mp^s$ makes this analysis very easy. A similar argument will appear in later sections, but with more complications.

Proof of Theorem 1.11: Let $h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be the parity check polynomial of C . Recall that $h \mid x^n - 1 = (x^m - 1)^{p^s}$.

Let k be the smallest integer such that $h \mid (x^m - 1)^k$. Note that $\dim(C) = \deg(h) \leq mk$. Let $f_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be a factor of $x^m - 1$ such that f_1^k divides h . Let $j = \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor$. Note that

$$f = \frac{x^n - 1}{f_1^{p^j}} = (x^m - 1)^{(p-1)(p^{s-1} + \dots + p^j)} \left(\frac{x^m - 1}{f_1} \right)^{p^j}$$

is a codeword as $f \cdot h \mid (x^n - 1)$. Moreover, we can write $f(x) = f_1(x^{p^j})$, for some polynomial $f_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, so $\text{dist}(C) \leq \text{wt}(f) = \text{wt}(f_1) \leq n/p^j = p^{s-j}m \leq p^{s+1}m/k = pn/k$. Combining our two inequalities we obtain $\dim(C)\text{dist}(C) \leq mk \cdot pn/k = pmn$. \square

In order to prove a more general result we need to consider the factorization of $x^n - 1$ to cyclotomic polynomials, and their irreducible factors.

4 Cyclotomic polynomials

In this section collect basic facts about cyclotomic polynomials over finite fields. We refer to [LN] for proofs and further information. We continue to work over the field \mathbb{F}_q , $q = p^\ell$.

Let $\tilde{\Phi}_n$ be the n -th cyclotomic polynomial over the rationals. $\tilde{\Phi}_n$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients; let Φ_n be the same polynomial mod p . Then Φ_n is the n -th cyclotomic polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q . These polynomials can be calculated inductively from the identity $x^n - 1 = \prod_{d \mid n} \Phi_d$. The degree of Φ_n is $\varphi(n)$. For $p \nmid n$, the roots of Φ_n are the n -th roots of unity in the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_q . For $n = m \cdot p^s$, where $p \nmid m$, $\Phi_n = (\Phi_m)^{p^{s-1}(p-1)}$.

Lemma 4.1 ([LN, p. 82, Ex. 2.57(c)]) *Let m_1, m_2 be integers such that every prime dividing m_1 divides m_2 . Then*

$$\Phi_{m_1 m_2}(x) = \Phi_{m_2}(x^{m_1}).$$

For n coprime to a let $\text{ord}_n(a)$ denote the order of a in \mathbb{Z}_n^\times , the multiplicative group of the ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (the integers modulo n). Note that for $q = p^\ell$ we have $\text{ord}_n(q) = \text{ord}_n(p)/\gcd(\text{ord}_n(p), \ell) = \text{lcm}(\text{ord}_n(p), \ell)/\ell$ ($\text{lcm}(a, b)$ is the least common multiple of a and b).

Lemma 4.2 ([LN, p. 65]) *For n coprime to q , all the irreducible factors of Φ_n over \mathbb{F}_q have degree $\text{ord}_n(q)$.*

Let v be a prime. Let $t_{v,p}$ be the smallest exponent such that $p^{v-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{v^{t_{v,p}+1}}$. Note that $1 \leq t_{v,p} \leq v/\log_p v$. We will use $t_{v,p}$ to determine the order of p modulo v^k for large values of k .

Lemma 4.3 *Let p, v be different primes. For $k \geq t_{v,p}$*

$$\text{ord}_{v^k}(p) = v^{k-t_{v,p}} \text{ord}_v(p).$$

For $k \leq t_{v,p}$

$$\text{ord}_{v^k}(p) = \text{ord}_v(p).$$

Proof: Let $t = t_{v,p}$ and let $g = \text{ord}_v(p)$. We have $p^g \equiv 1 + ap^t \pmod{v^{t+1}}$ where a is not divisible by v . By induction on s we obtain that $p^{g v^s} \equiv 1 + ap^{t+s} \pmod{v^{t+s+1}}$ for every $s \geq 0$. Hence the order of p in $\mathbb{Z}_{v^{t+s+1}}^\times$ divides $g v^{s+1}$, is divisible by the $g v^s$ and is not $g v^s$. Hence the order of p in $\mathbb{Z}_{v^{t+s}}^\times$ is $g v^s$. \square

Let $m_1 = p_1^{\gamma_1} \dots p_k^{\gamma_k}$. The following lemma shows that the irreducible factors of Φ_{m_1} over the field \mathbb{F}_q are exactly the irreducible factors of Φ_{m_2} evaluated at x^{m_1/m_2} , for $m_2 = p_1^{\delta_1} \dots p_k^{\delta_k}$, where δ_i is determined by q , γ_i and p_1, \dots, p_k .

Lemma 4.4 *Let p, p_1, \dots, p_k be different primes where $q = p^\ell$. Let c_i be the largest integer such that $p_i^{c_i}$ divides ℓ . Let c'_i be the largest integer t such that there is $j \neq i$ for which p_i^t divides $\text{ord}_{p_j}(p)$. Let $b_i = \max(c_i, c'_i)$.*

Let $m_1 = p_1^{\gamma_1} \dots p_k^{\gamma_k}$. Let $m_2 = p_1^{\delta_1} \dots p_k^{\delta_k}$ where $\delta_i = \min\{\gamma_i, t_{p_i, p} + b_i\}$. Let f_1, \dots, f_d be the irreducible factors of Φ_{m_2} over \mathbb{F}_q . Then the irreducible factors of Φ_{m_1} over \mathbb{F}_q are $f_1(x^{m_1/m_2}), \dots, f_d(x^{m_1/m_2})$.

Proof: The idea of the proof is simple. First we use Lemma 4.1 to obtain

$$\Phi_{m_2}(x) = \Phi_{m_1}(x^{m_1/m_2}).$$

This implies that $f_i(x^{m_1/m_2})$ is a factor of $\Phi_{m_1}(x)$. Now we show that $\deg(f_i(x^{m_1/m_2})) = \text{ord}_{m_1}(q)$ and using Lemma 4.2 we get that it is an irreducible factor.

Note that $p_i^{b_i}$ divides $\text{ord}_{p_i^{t_{p_i, p} + b_i}}(p)$ and $p_i^{b_i+1}$ does not divide $\text{ord}_{p_j^B}(p)$ for any $j \neq i$ and any B . Moreover $p_i^{b_i+1}$ does not divide ℓ . Using Lemma 4.3 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{m_1}(p^\ell) &= \text{lcm}(\ell, \text{ord}_{p_1^{\gamma_1}}(p), \dots, \text{ord}_{p_k^{\gamma_k}}(p)) / \ell \\ &= \text{lcm}(\ell, p_1^{\gamma_1 - \delta_1} \text{ord}_{p_1^{\delta_1}}(p), \dots, p_k^{\gamma_k - \delta_k} \text{ord}_{p_k^{\delta_k}}(p)) / \ell \\ &= p_1^{\gamma_1 - \delta_1} \dots p_k^{\gamma_k - \delta_k} \text{lcm}(\ell, \text{ord}_{p_1^{\delta_1}}(p), \dots, \text{ord}_{p_k^{\delta_k}}(p)) / \ell \\ &= (m_1/m_2) \text{ord}_{m_2}(p^\ell). \end{aligned}$$

As for every i we have $\text{ord}_{m_2}(p^\ell) = \deg(f_i(x^{m_1/m_2}))$ we obtain that for every i , $f_i(x^{m_1/m_2})$ is a factor of minimal degree of $\Phi_{m_1}(x)$ (by Lemma 4.2) and hence it is irreducible. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.4. \square

5 Small primes

In this section we settle the case when a large part of n factors into powers of very small primes. No local testability assumption will be made in this section.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $q = p^\ell$ be a prime power. Let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ where p, p_1, \dots, p_k are different primes. Let C be a cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q . Then*

$$\dim(C) \text{dist}(C) \leq 2\ell n^{1+1.1/\ln \ln n} \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\beta_i}$$

where $\beta_i = 1 + \min\{t_{p_i, p}, \alpha_i\}$.

The quantity $t_{v, p}$ is defined before Lemma 4.3 where it is noted that $t_{v, p} \leq v / \log_p v$. Therefore $p^{\beta_i} \leq p_i \cdot \min\{p_i^{\alpha_i}, p^{p_i}\}$.

Using the fact that the sum of primes less than x is $O(x^2 / \ln x)$ we obtain:

Corollary 5.2 *Let q be a fixed prime power. Let $p(n)$ be the largest prime dividing n . For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $c = c(\varepsilon, q) > 0$ such that if $p(n) \leq c(\ln n \ln \ln n)^{1/2}$ then for cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_q of length n*

$$\text{dist}(C) \dim(C) \leq n^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

In Section 6 we improve Theorem 5.1 for binary codes under a plausible number theoretic conjecture.

Before proving Theorem 5.1 we sketch the idea of the proof. Let h be the parity check polynomial for C . We shall show that h shares a factor g_{n_1} with a cyclotomic polynomial Φ_{n_1} where n_1 has a large smooth divisor. It follows by Lemma 4.4 that g_{n_1} can be written as a polynomial of a large power of x . This in turn implies, as in the proof of Theorem 1.11, that the polynomial $(x^n - 1)/g_{n_1}$ represents a codeword of small weight.

Proof of Theorem 5.1: Let h be the parity check polynomial of the code C . Recall that $h \mid x^n - 1 = \prod_{d \mid n} \Phi_d$. Let $\rho = \deg(h)/n$ be the rate of the code. For d not divisible by p let $g_d = \gcd(h, \Phi_d)$. For $d = p^j e$ ($1 \leq j \leq s$), where e is not divisible by p , we define g_d recursively on j :

$$g_d = \gcd(h / \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} g_{p^i e}, \Phi_d)$$

Observation 5.3 (a) $\prod_{d \mid n} g_d = h$.

(b) If $f^t \mid \Phi_{ep^j}$ and $f \mid g_{ep^{j+1}}$ then $f^t \mid g_{ep^j}$.

From the fact $\prod_{d \mid n} g_d = h$ we infer $\sum_{d \mid n} \deg(g_d) = \rho n$. From the fact $\deg(g_d) \leq \varphi(d) \leq d$ and the next claim it follows that there is $n_1 \geq \rho n^{1-1.1/\ln \ln n}$ such that $n_1 \mid n$ and $\deg(g_{n_1}) > 0$.

Claim 5.4 *For any $n \geq 3$ and any A , $\sum_{d \mid n, d \leq A} d < An^{1.1/\ln \ln n}$.*

Proof: It is known that the number of divisors of a number $n \geq 3$ is less than $n^{1.1/\ln \ln n}$ (see e.g. [BaS, p.234]), so the Claim follows. \square

This completes the first step of the proof as outlined. Let m_1 be the part of n_1 not divisible by p , i.e., $n_1 = p^{s_1} m_1$. Let g be an irreducible factor of g_{n_1} .

Claim 5.5 $g^{p^{s_1-1}} \mid g_{n_1}$.

Proof: As $g_{n_1} \mid \Phi_{n_1} = (\Phi_{m_1})^{p^{s_1-1}(p-1)}$ and g is an irreducible factor of g_{n_1} , we get that g is an irreducible factor of Φ_{m_1} . Therefore, for every $1 \leq j$ we have

that $g^{p^{j-1}(p-1)} \mid \Phi_{m_1 p^j}$. As $g \mid g_{m_1 p^{s_1}}$ we get by Observation 5.3 that for every $1 \leq j < s_1$, $g^{p^{j-1}(p-1)} \mid g_{m_1 p^j}$. Since $\prod_{j=0}^{s_1-1} g_{m_1 p^j} \mid h$ we get that

$$g^{2+\sum_{j=1}^{s_1-1} p^{j-1}(p-1)} \mid h$$

where the 2 in the exponent comes from the contributions of g_{m_1} and g_{n_1} . Thus, for $Z = p^{s_1-1}$ we have that $g^Z \mid h$. \square

Note that $f = (x^n - 1)/g^Z$ is a codeword. We will show that f has a small weight. Since Z is a power of p we have that $f = ((x^{n/Z} - 1)/g)^Z$. From Observation 2.1 it follows that $\text{wt}(f) = \text{wt}((x^{n/Z} - 1)/g)$. As $m_1 \mid n$ and $p \nmid m_1$ we can write $m_1 = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\gamma_i}$. Let $b_1, \dots, b_k, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k, m_2$ be defined as in Lemma 4.4. We obtain that the irreducible factors of Φ_{m_1} are $f_1(x^{m_1/m_2}), \dots, f_d(x^{m_1/m_2})$ where f_1, \dots, f_d are the irreducible factors of Φ_{m_2} . Hence g has all exponents divisible by m_1/m_2 . Since $\frac{m_1}{m_2} \mid \frac{n}{Z}$ we can view both $x^{n/Z} - 1$ and g as polynomials in x^{m_1/m_2} and conclude that

$$\text{wt}(f) = \text{wt}((x^{n/Z} - 1)/g) \leq \frac{n/Z}{m_1/m_2} = \frac{pnm_2}{n_1}$$

We now estimate m_2 . From the definition of the b_i it follows that each $p_i^{b_i}$ divides $\ell(p_1 - 1) \dots (p_k - 1)$. We also have that $t_{p_i} \leq p_i / \log_p p_i$. As the p_i are distinct, we obtain that

$$m_2 \leq \ell \cdot p_1 \cdots p_k \prod_{i=1}^k \min\{p^{p_i}, p_i^{\alpha_i}\}.$$

Hence

$$\text{wt}(f) \leq \frac{p}{\rho} \cdot \ell \cdot n^{1.1/\ln \ln n} \prod_{i=1}^k p_i \cdot \min\{p^{p_i}, p_i^{\alpha_i}\}.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem. \square

Theorem 1.9 now follows as an easy corollary. Next we discuss the case where the prime divisors of n are bounded, the case considered by Berman [Ber]. To replicate Berman's result (see Theorem 1.10) with an explicit estimate (Theorem 5.9), we need to replace Claim 5.4 by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.6 *Let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ (p_i prime). Then for any $\delta, 0 < \delta < 1$,*

$$\sum_{d \mid n, d \leq \delta n} d < \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^k \cdot (\max_i p_i) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \cdot \delta n.$$

Proof: Let

$$N(n, \delta) = \{d : d \mid n \text{ and } \delta n < d \leq \delta n \cdot \max_i p_i\}.$$

Clearly if $d \mid n$ and $d \leq \delta n$ then there exist \tilde{d} in $N(n, \delta)$ such that $d \mid \tilde{d}$. We thus obtain that

$$\sum_{d \mid n, d \leq \delta n} d \leq \sum_{\tilde{d} \in N(n, \delta)} \sum_{d \mid \tilde{d}} d.$$

Consider some $\tilde{d} \in N(n, \delta n)$. Denote $\tilde{d} = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_k^{\beta_k}$. We get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \mid \tilde{d}} d &= \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\beta_i} p_i^j \right) < \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i^{\beta_i+1}}{p_i - 1} = \tilde{d} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \\ &\leq \delta n \cdot \max_i p_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{d \mid n, d \leq \delta n} d < |N(n, \delta)| \cdot \delta n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \cdot \max_i p_i.$$

Claim 5.7 $|N(n, \delta)| \leq (1 + \lfloor \log \frac{1}{\delta} \rfloor)^k$.

Proof: Let $d \in N(n, \delta)$. Then $d \mid n$ and $\frac{n}{d} < \frac{1}{\delta}$. Denote $\frac{n}{d} = p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_k^{\beta_k}$. Clearly

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \leq \lfloor \log \frac{1}{\delta} \rfloor.$$

Therefore the number of such $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ is at most

$$\binom{k + \lfloor \log \frac{1}{\delta} \rfloor}{k} \leq \left(1 + \lfloor \log \frac{1}{\delta} \rfloor\right)^k. \quad \square$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.6. \square

We rearrange the parameters of Lemma 5.6 as follows.

Corollary 5.8 *Let $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ (p_i prime). Let $0 < \rho < 1$,*

$$c = (\max_i p_i) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1},$$

and $\delta = \min(2^{-6k^2}, (\frac{\rho}{c})^2)$. Then

$$\sum_{d \mid n, d \leq \delta n} d < \rho n.$$

Now the explicit estimate for Berman's result (Theorem 1.10) follows.

Theorem 5.9 *Let $q = p^\ell$ be a prime power. Let p_1, \dots, p_k be a fixed set of primes different from p . Let $0 < \rho < 1$ be a constant. Let δ be as in Corollary 5.8. Let C be a cyclic code of length $n = p^s p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ and dimension ρn . Then*

$$\text{dist}(C) \leq \frac{p}{\delta} \cdot \ell \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k p_i \cdot \min\{p^{p_i}, p_i^{\alpha_i}\}.$$

Proof: Repeat the proof of Theorem 5.1. Then, instead of using Claim 5.4, use Lemma 5.6. We obtain that there exists $n_1 \geq \delta n$ such that $n_1 \mid n$ and $\deg(g_{n_1}) > 0$. The rest of the proof remains the same. In the end we obtain

$$\text{wt}(f) \leq \frac{\rho n m_2}{n_1} \leq \frac{p}{\delta} \cdot \ell \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k p_i \cdot \min\{p^{p_i}, p_i^{\alpha_i}\}. \quad \square$$

6 Wieferich primes

In this section we improve our tradeoff under a widely accepted number theoretic hypothesis.

Primes such that $t_{p,2} > 1$, i.e. primes p satisfying $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, are called Wieferich primes and have played an important role in certain cases of Fermat's Last Theorem [Wi]. There are only two Wieferich primes known (1093 and 3511). There are no other Wieferich primes less than $4 \cdot 10^{12}$ [CrDP]. It is not known whether there are infinitely many Wieferich primes. For $p = 1093$ and 3511 we have $t_{p,2} = 2$ and for all other primes $p < 4 \cdot 10^{12}$ we have $t_{p,2} = 1$.

Conjecture 6.1 *For every prime p , $t_{p,2} \leq 2$.*

(This is a restatement of Conjecture 1.7.)

Using the fact that the product of primes less than x is $\exp(x + o(1))$ we obtain the following conditional consequence of Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 6.2 *Let $p(n)$ be the largest prime dividing n . If Conjecture 6.1 holds then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $c > 0$ such that if $p(n) \leq c \ln n$ then for cyclic codes of length n , $\text{dist}(C) \dim(C) \leq n^{1+\varepsilon}$.*

7 r -closed codes

So far our proofs worked for all cyclic codes. The remaining cases will require the assumption of local testability.

In this section we show how to replace the algorithmic concept of r -testability with the algebraic concept of r -closure on which the proof in the next section will be based.

Definition 7.1 The r -core of a code C is the subspace of C spanned by the words of weight $\leq r$. The r -closure of C is the dual of the r -core of the dual. We say that C is r -closed if C is its own r -closure.

Observation 7.2 *C is r -closed exactly if C^\perp is spanned by its words of weight $\leq r$. Moreover, the r -closure of C is the smallest r -closed subspace containing C .*

Let $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For $A \subseteq [n]$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, let x_A denote the restriction of x to A (a string of $|A|$ numbers from \mathbb{F}_q). We shall need the following characterization of the r -closure.

Proposition 7.3 *Let $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Then x belongs to the r -closure of C if and only if for all $A \subseteq [n]$, if $|A| \leq r$ then there exists $y \in C$ such that $x_A = y_A$.*

Proof: The “if” part is trivial, so we prove the “only if” part (which is the part we shall need below). Let D denote the r -closure of C and let $x \in D$. Let $A \subseteq [n]$, $|A| \leq r$. We need to prove that $(\exists y \in C)(x_A = y_A)$. Let $C_A = \{y_A : y \in C\}$ (the projection of C obtained by restriction to A). We need to prove that $x_A \in C_A$. This will be accomplished by proving that $x_A \perp C_A^\perp$. Let $z_A \in C_A^\perp$. We need to show that $x_A \perp z_A$. Let $z = (0, z_A) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be the word with zero entries outside A and restricting to z_A . Now $z \in C^\perp$ because for all $y \in C$, $z \cdot y = z_A \cdot y_A = 0$. But $\text{wt}(z) \leq r$, so $z \in D^\perp$ and therefore $x \cdot z = 0$. Consequently $x_A \cdot z_A = x \cdot z = 0$, as desired. \square

Proposition 7.4 *Let \mathcal{T} be a complete r -tester for C (surely accepts all words in C) and let D be the r -closure of C . Then \mathcal{T} surely accepts all words in D .*

Proof: Let $x \in D$. On input x , let \mathcal{T} select $A \subseteq [n]$, $|A| = r$ (in some order). Now $(\exists y \in C)(x_A = y_A)$; therefore on input y , \mathcal{T} makes the exact same sequence of choices. But \mathcal{T} must accept y ; therefore it will accept x . \square

The main result of this section follows. This result holds not only for cyclic codes but also for codes that are invariant under a transitive group action.

Definition 7.5 Let G be a group acting on $[n]$ as permutations. We say that G is *transitive* if for every $i, j \in [n]$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(i) = j$. Let $C \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ be a code. We say that C is *invariant* under the group G if for every $g \in G$ we have $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in C \Leftrightarrow (a_{g(1)}, \dots, a_{g(n)}) \in C$.

Lemma 7.6 Let C be a weakly r -testable code. Assume C is invariant under a transitive permutation group action. Then C is r -closed.

Proof: Let D denote the r -closure of C . Assume for a contradiction that $C \neq D$. Let $d = \text{dist}(C)$ and let u be a word in $D \setminus C$. As D is accepted by \mathcal{T} we must have that $\text{dist}(u, C) < \frac{d}{3}$. Therefore, there is a nonzero word w in D such that $\text{wt}(w) < \frac{d}{3}$. Let $v \in D$ be a word of weight $< 2d/3$; let $\text{wt}(v)$ be maximum under this constraint.

Claim 7.7 $\text{wt}(v) > d/3$.

Proof: Assume for a contradiction that $\text{wt}(v) \leq d/3$. Let w' be a random translate of w under the given transitive group action. (So $w' \in D$.) It is easy to prove (see [BaE]) that the expected weight of $v+w'$ is at least $\text{wt}(v) + \text{wt}(w) - 2\text{wt}(v)\text{wt}(w)/n \geq \text{wt}(v) + \text{wt}(w)/3 > \text{wt}(v)$; therefore there exists w' (a translate of w) such that $\text{wt}(v+w') > \text{wt}(v)$. Therefore, by the maximality of $\text{wt}(v)$, we have $\text{wt}(v+w') \geq 2d/3$. But $\text{wt}(v+w') \leq \text{wt}(v) + \text{wt}(w') < 2d/3$, a contradiction, proving Claim 7.7. \square

Now $d/3 < \text{wt}(v) < 2d/3$, and therefore $\text{dist}(v, C) > d/3$. This is a contradiction because v is accepted by \mathcal{T} according to Proposition 7.4. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.6. \square

8 Not all primes small

In this section we settle the case when n is divisible by an unbounded prime ($p(n) = \omega(1)$, where $p(n)$ is the largest prime dividing n). This is the only case where local testability plays a role; by Lemma 7.6 we shall assume that our codes are r -closed.

Theorem 8.1 Let $v \neq p$ be a prime such that $v \mid n$. For every r -closed cyclic code C of length n we have either $\dim(C) \leq 4nv^{-1/(r-1)}$ or $\text{dist}(C) \leq n/v$.

Our main result, Theorem 1.6, is an immediate consequence of this result and Corollary 5.2. Similarly, Theorem 1.8 follows by combining Theorem 8.1 with Corollary 6.2.

Corollary 8.2 Let $\{C_i\}$ be a family of locally testable cyclic codes. Let C_i be of length n_i , and let $p(n_i)$ be the largest prime divisor of n_i . If $\sup p(n_i) = \infty$ then this family cannot be good.

We need the following classical result on simultaneous diophantine approximation.

Theorem 8.3 (Dirichlet) Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be real numbers and $L > 0$ an integer. Then there exist integers P_1, \dots, P_n, Q such that $|\alpha_i Q - P_i| \leq L^{-1/r}$ and $0 < Q \leq L$.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ denote the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_q . As before, we assume that C is a code over \mathbb{F}_q , where $q = p^\ell$.

Lemma 8.4 Let $v \neq p$ be a prime, $h \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q[x]$ and $b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$, $b \neq 0$. Assume that $\text{wt}(h) \leq r$. Then either $x^v - b$ divides h or $\deg(\gcd(h, x^v - b)) \leq 4v^{1-1/(r-1)}$.

Proof: Let c be a root of $x^v - b$. Let $f_1(x) = h(xc)$. Clearly $\deg(\gcd(h, x^v - b)) = \deg(\gcd(f_1, x^v - 1))$. Let a_0, \dots, a_{r-1} be the exponents of nonzero terms in f_1 , i.e., $f_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} x^{a_j}$. W.l.o.g. we can assume that $a_0 = 0$ because zero is not a root of $x^v - 1$. Let $\alpha_i = a_i/v, i = 0, \dots, r-1$. Let P_1, \dots, P_{r-1}, Q be the best (in max-norm) simultaneous diophantine approximation of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}$ with $Q \leq v-1$. Let $P_0 = 0$. By Dirichlet's Theorem 8.3, $|\alpha_i Q - P_i| \leq (v-1)^{-1/(r-1)} \leq 2v^{-1/(r-1)}$. Let $b_i = a_i Q - P_i v$. Let further $t = \max_{i=0}^{r-1} |b_i|$. We have $t \leq 2v^{1-1/(r-1)}$. Let $f_2(x)$ be $x^t f_1(x^Q)$ modulo $x^v - 1$. We have $f_2(x) = x^t f_1(x^Q) + (x^v - 1)g(x)$ for some $g \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q[x]$. Note that $\deg(f_2(x)) \leq 4v^{1-1/(r-1)}$ because $x^t(x^Q)^{a_i} = x^{t+b_i+vP_i}$ and $0 \leq t + b_i \leq 4v^{1-1/(r-1)}$. Let R be the inverse of Q modulo v . If $\omega \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ is a common root of f_1 and $x^v - 1$ then ω^R is a root of f_2 . If f_2 is the zero polynomial then $x^v - 1$ divides f_1 . Otherwise f_2 has at most $4v^{1-1/(r-1)}$ roots and hence $\deg(\gcd(h, x^v - b)) = \deg(\gcd(f_1, x^v - 1)) \leq 4v^{1-1/(r-1)}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 8.1: Let $h_1, \dots, h_t \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be the test polynomials of the code. We have $\text{wt}(h_i) \leq r, i = 1, \dots, t$. Let h be the parity check polynomial of C . Let $n = p^s m v$ where m is not divisible by p . We have $x^n - 1 = (x^{mv} - 1)^{p^s} = (\prod_b (x^v - b))^{p^s}$ where the product ranges over all m -th roots of unity $b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. If for each b we have $\deg(\gcd(h, x^v - b)) \leq 4v^{1-1/(r-1)}$ then $\dim(C) = \deg(h) = \deg(\gcd(h, x^n - 1)) \leq p^s m \cdot 4v^{1-1/(r-1)} = 4nv^{-1/(r-1)}$. Otherwise there exists an m -th root of unity b such that $\deg(\gcd(h, x^v - b)) > 4v^{1-1/(r-1)}$. This means that for every $i = 1, \dots, t$, $\deg(\gcd(h_i, x^v - b)) > 4v^{1-1/(r-1)}$.

By Lemma 8.4, $x^v - b$ divides each h_i and hence also h . Note that $x^v - b^{q^i}$ divides h for any $i \geq 0$ because $\sigma_i : a \mapsto a^{q^i}$ is a Frobenius automorphism and the coefficients of h are in \mathbb{F}_q and hence fixed by σ_i . Let $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be the minimal polynomial of b . Then $g = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - b^{q^i})$ where k is the smallest positive integer such that $b^{q^k} = b$. Hence $g(x^v)$ divides h . Note that $g(x^v)$ also divides $x^n - 1$ and $f := (x^n - 1)/g(x^v)$ has at most n/v terms because all the exponents are divisible by v . \square

References

- [AIS] N. ALON, J.H. SPENCER: *The Probabilistic Method*. Wiley 1992.
- [ArLMSS] S. ARORA, C. LUND, R. MOTWANI, M. SUDAN, M. SZEGEDY: Proof Verification and Intractability of Approximation Problems. *J. ACM* **45** (1998), 501–555.
- [ArS] S. ARORA, M. SAFRA: Probabilistically Checkable Proofs: A New Characterization of NP. *J. ACM* **45** (1998), 70–122.
- [BaE] L. BABAI, P. ERDŐS: Representation of group elements as short products. In: “*Theory and Practice of Combinatorics*” (A. Rosa, G. Sabidussi, J. Turgeon eds.) *Annals of Discrete Math.* **12** (1982), 27–30.
- [BaFL] L. BABAI, L. FORTNOW, C. LUND: Nondeterministic exponential time has two-prover interactive protocols. *Computational Complexity* **1** (1991), 3–40.
- [BaFLS] L. BABAI, L. FORTNOW, L. A. LEVIN, M. SZEGEDY: Checking computations in polylogarithmic time. *23rd ACM STOC*, 1991, pp. 21–31.
- [BaS] E. BACH, J. SHALLIT: *Algorithmic Number Theory*. MIT Press, 1996.
- [BeGS] E. BEN SASSON, O. GOLDBREICH, M. SUDAN: Bounds on 2-Query Codeword Testing. ECCC Report TR03-019 (2003).
- [BeSVW] E. BEN SASSON, M. SUDAN, S. VADHAN, A. WIGDERSON: Randomness-efficient low degree tests and short PCPs via epsilon-biased sets. *35th ACM STOC*, 2003, pp. 612–621.
- [Ber] S. D. BERMAN: Semisimple Cyclic and Abelian Codes. *Cybernetics* **3** (1967), 21–30.
- [BiLR] M. BLUM, M. LUBY, R. RUBINFELD: Self-Testing/Correcting with Application to Numerical Problems. *JCSS* **47(3)** (1993), 549–595.
- [CaMSS] G. CASTAGNOLI, J. L. MASSEY, P. A. SCHOELLER, N. VON SEEMAN: On Repeated-Root Cyclic Codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, **37(2)** (1991), 337–342.
- [CrDP] R. CRANDALL, K. DILCHER, C. POMERANCE: A search for Wieferich and Wilson primes. *Math. Comp.* **66** (1997), 433–449.
- [DJKLR] A. DESHPANDE, R. JAIN, T. KAVITHA, S. V. LOKAM, J. RADHAKRISHNAN: Better lower bounds for locally decodable codes. *17th IEEE Conf. on Computational Complexity*, 2002, pp. 152–161.
- [FS] K. FRIEDL, M. SUDAN: Some improvements to total degree tests. *3rd Ann. Israel Symp. on Theory of Computing and Systems*, Tel Aviv, Israel, 4–6 January 1995, pp. 190–198.
- [GKST] O. GOLDBREICH, H. KARLOFF, L. SCHULMAN, L. TREVISAN: Lower Bounds for Linear Locally Decodable Codes and Private Information Retrieval. *17th IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity*, 2002, pp. 175–183.
- [GS] O. GOLDBREICH, M. SUDAN: Locally testable codes and PCPs of almost linear length. *43rd IEEE FOCS*, 2002, pp. 13–22.
- [KaT] J. KATZ, L. TREVISAN: On the efficiency of local decoding procedures for error-correcting codes. *32nd ACM STOC*, 2000, pp. 80–86.
- [KdW] I. KERENIDIS, R. DE WOLF: Exponential lower bound for 2-query locally decodable codes via a quantum argument. *35th ACM STOC*, 2003, pp. 106–115.
- [LN] R. LIDL, H. NIEDERREITER: *Finite Fields*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications, vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [MS] F. J. MACWILLIAMS, N. J. A. SLOANE: *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. North-Holland – Elsevier, Amsterdam 1977.
- [Pr] E. PRANGE: Cyclic Error-Correcting codes in two symbols. Technical report AFCRC-TN-57-103, Air Force Cambridge Research Center, Cambridge, Mass. 1957.
- [Wi] A. WIEFERICH: Zum letzten Fermat’schen Theorem. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **136** (1909), 293–302.