• Quiz 8 WAS due at 2:59 pm today

• Project 2 Part 2 is due tomorrow:
  – 03/29/2018 (11:59 pm)
TYPES OF INDEXES
Types of Indexing

- **Primary Indexes**

- **Clustering Indexes**

- **Secondary Indexes**

- **Multilevel Indexes**
  - Dynamic Multilevel Indexes

- **Hash Indexes**

- Easy introduction: [https://www.tutorialspoint.com/dbms/dbms_indexing.htm](https://www.tutorialspoint.com/dbms/dbms_indexing.htm)
## Recap: No Indexing

**Sorted Files**

- **Fig 16.7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Birth_date</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aaron, Ed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbott, Diane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acosta, Marc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams, John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams, Robin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akers, Jan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander, Ed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfred, Bob</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen, Sam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen, Troy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anders, Keith</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, Rob</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, Zach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angeli, Joe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer, Sue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold, Mack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold, Steven</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atkins, Timothy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood, Donald</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods, Manny</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright, Pam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyatt, Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimmer, Byron</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sorted Files (zoomed)

- Fig 16.7

Recap: No Indexing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block 1</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ssn</th>
<th>Birth_date</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aaron, Ed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abbott, Diane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acosta, Marc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block 2</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ssn</th>
<th>Birth_date</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adams, John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adams, Robin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Akers, Jan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary Indexes: Index for Sorted (Ordered) Files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Birth_date</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aaron, Ed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbot, Diane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acosta, Marc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams, John</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams, Robin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akers, Jan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander, Ed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfred, Bob</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen, Sam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen, Troy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anders, Keith</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, Rob</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson, Zach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anel, Joe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer, Sue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold, Mack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold, Steven</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atkins, Timothy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong, James</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood, Donald</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods, Manny</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright, Pam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyatt, Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimmer, Byron</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 1

• Suppose that we have an ordered file with \( r = 30,000 \) records stored on a disk with block size \( B = 1024 \) bytes.
• File records are of fixed size and are unspanned, with record length \( R = 100 \) bytes. Also, suppose that the ordering key field of the file is \( V = 9 \) bytes long, a block pointer is \( P = 6 \) bytes long, and we have constructed a primary index for the file.

• Find out:
  1. Cost of searching for a record using Binary Search on the data file
  2. Cost of searching for a record using the index
Example 1 (Part 1)

- Suppose that we have an ordered file with \( r = 30,000 \) records stored on a disk with block size \( B = 1024 \) bytes.
- File records are of fixed size and are unspanned, with record length \( R = 100 \) bytes. Also, suppose that the ordering key field of the file is \( V = 9 \) bytes long, a block pointer is \( P = 6 \) bytes long, and we have constructed a primary index for the file.

Find out the cost of searching for a record using **Binary Search** on the data file.
• **Blocking factor:**
  
  \[ \text{bfr} = \left\lfloor \frac{B}{R} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{1024}{100} \right\rfloor = 10 \text{ records per block.} \]

• The number of blocks needed for the file is
  
  \[ \text{b} = \left\lceil \frac{r}{\text{bfr}} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{30000}{10} \right\rceil = 3000 \text{ blocks.} \]

• A binary search on the data file would need approximately
  
  \[ \left\lceil \log_2 b \right\rceil = \left\lceil \log_2 3000 \right\rceil = 12 \text{ block accesses.} \]
Example 1 (Part 2)

- Suppose that we have an ordered file with \( r = 30,000 \) records stored on a disk with block size \( B = 1024 \) bytes.
- File records are of fixed size and are unspanned, with record length \( R = 100 \) bytes. Also, suppose that the ordering key field of the file is \( V = 9 \) bytes long, a block pointer is \( P = 6 \) bytes long, and we have constructed a primary index for the file.

- Find out the cost of searching for a record using the index.
The size of each index entry:
- \( R_i = (9 + 6) = 15 \) bytes,
  So the blocking factor for the index is
  \[ bfr_i = \left\lfloor \frac{B}{R_i} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{1024}{15} \right\rfloor = 68 \text{ entries per block.} \]
  
The total number of index entries is equal to the number of blocks in the data file, which is 3000.

The number of index blocks is hence
\[ b_i = \left\lfloor \frac{r_i}{bfr_i} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{3000}{68} \right\rfloor = 45 \text{ blocks.} \]

To perform a binary search on the index file would need
\[ \left\lfloor \log_2 b_i \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \log_2 45 \right\rfloor = 6 \text{ block accesses.} \]

To search for a record using the index, we need one additional block access to the data file for a total of 6 + 1 = 7 block accesses—an improvement over binary search on the data file, which required 12 disk block accesses.
Clustering Indexes (Index for Sorted (on non-key) Files)
Clustering Indexes (Index for Sorted (on non-key) Files)

Don’t get confused by these two arrows. They are pointing to the same block.

Data file

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dept_number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ssn</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Birth_date</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Clustering field)

Index file

(<K(i), P(i)> entries)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clustering field value</th>
<th>Block pointer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Points to the first block that contains the clustering field.
Secondary Indexes (on a key field)

- Secondary means of accessing a data file
- File records could be ordered, unordered, or hashed

Note: The data file is a heap file, i.e., not sorted
Secondary Indexes (on a key field)

Index file
(<K(i), P(i)> entries)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index field value</th>
<th>Block pointer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data file

Indexing field (secondary key field)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The data file may be a heap file, i.e., not sorted
Secondary Indexes (on a non-key field)

Extra level of indirection

- Provides logical ordering
  - Though records are not physically ordered
Secondary Indexes (on a non-key field)
Extra level of indirection

Data file

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dept_number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ssn</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Birth_date</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(indexing field)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dept_number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ssn</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Birth_date</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(indexing field)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dept_number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ssn</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Birth_date</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(indexing field)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dept_number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ssn</th>
<th>Job</th>
<th>Birth_date</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High-level Categories of Index Types

• Multilevel Indexes
  – Very good for range queries, sorted data
  – Some old databases only implemented B-Trees
  – *We will mostly look at a variant called B+ Trees*

• Hash Tables
  – Very good for searching

*Real difference between structures: costs of ops determines which index you pick and why*
MULTILEVEL INDEXES
What you will learn about in this section

1. ISAM
2. B+ Tree
1. ISAM
• Indexed Sequential Access Method
  
  – For an index with $b_i$ blocks
    • Earlier: $\log_2 b_i$ block access
    • Now: $\log_{fo} b_i$ block access
    • ($fo = fanout$)
1. B+ TREES
What you will learn about in this section

1. B+ Trees: Basics
2. B+ Trees: Design & Cost
3. Clustered Indexes
Search trees
  - B does not mean binary!

Idea in B Trees:
  - make 1 node = 1 physical page
  - Balanced, height adjusted tree (not the B either)

Idea in B+ Trees:
  - Make leaves into a linked list (for range queries)

Ed McCreight answered a question on B-tree's name in 2013:

Bayer and I were in a lunchtime where we get to think [of] a name. And ... B is, you know ... We were working for Boeing at the time, we couldn't use the name without talking to lawyers. So, there is a B. [The B-tree] has to do with balance, another B. Bayer was the senior author, who [was] several years older than I am and had many more publications than I did. So there is another B. And so, at the lunch table we never did resolve whether there was one of those that made more sense than the rest. What really lives to say is: the more you think about what the B in B-trees means, the better you understand B-trees."[5]
B+ Tree Basics

Parameter \( d = \text{degree} \)

The minimum number of key an interior node can have

Each *non-leaf* ("interior") *node* has \( \geq d \) and \( \leq 2d \) *keys* *

*except for root node, which can have between 1 and 2d keys*
B+ Tree Basics

The $n$ keys in a node define $n+1$ ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

$k < 10$

$10 \leq k < 20$

$20 \leq k < 30$

$30 \leq k$
B+ Tree Basics

Non-leaf or *internal* node

For each range, in a *non-leaf* node, there is a **pointer** to another node with keys in that range.
Leaf nodes also have between $d$ and $2d$ keys, and are different in that:

Non-leaf or *internal* node

Leaf nodes
Leaf nodes also have between $d$ and $2d$ keys, and are different in that:

Their key slots contain pointers to data records.
Leaf nodes also have between $d$ and $2d$ keys, and are different in that:

- Their key slots contain pointers to data records.
- They contain a pointer to the next leaf node as well, for faster sequential traversal.
B+ Tree Basics

Note that the pointers at the leaf level will be to the actual data records (rows).

We might truncate these for simpler display (as before)...

Non-leaf or internal node

Leaf nodes

12 17
22 25 28 29
32 34 37 38

Name: Joe
Age: 11

Name: John
Age: 21

Name: Bob
Age: 27

Name: Sal
Age: 30

Name: Sally
Age: 28

Name: Sue
Age: 33

Name: Jess
Age: 35

Name: Alf
Age: 37
Some finer points of B+ Trees
Searching a B+ Tree

- For exact key values:
  - Start at the root
  - Proceed down, to the leaf

- For range queries:
  - As above
  - *Then sequential traversal*

```sql
SELECT name
FROM people
WHERE age = 25

SELECT name
FROM people
WHERE 20 <= age
  AND age <= 30
```
30 < 80

30 in [20,60)

30 in [30,40)

To the data!

K = 30?

Not all nodes pictured
B+ Tree Range Search Animation

30 < 80

30 in [20,60)

30 in [30,40)

To the data!

K in [30,85]?

Not all nodes pictured
• How large is $d$?

• Example:
  – Key size = 4 bytes
  – Pointer size = 8 bytes
  – Block size = 4096 bytes

• We want each node to fit on a single block/page
  – $2d \times 4 + (2d+1) \times 8 \leq 4096 \Rightarrow d \leq 170$
As compared to e.g. binary search trees, B+ Trees have high fanout (between \(d+1\) and \(2d+1\)).

This means that the depth of the tree is small → getting to any element requires very few IO operations!

– Also can often store most or all of the B+ Tree in main memory!
Simple Cost Model for Search

• Let:
  – \( f = \text{fanout} \), which is in \([d+1, 2d+1]\) (\textit{we’ll assume it’s constant for our cost model}…)
  – \( N = \) the total number of \textit{pages} we need to index
  – \( F = \) fill-factor (usually \( \sim 2/3 \))

• Our B+ Tree needs to have room to index \( N / F \) pages!
  – We have the fill factor in order to leave some open slots for faster insertions

• What height (\( h \)) does our B+ Tree need to be?
  – \( h=1 \) → Just the root node- room to index \( f \) pages
  – \( h=2 \) → \( f \) leaf nodes- room to index \( f^2 \) pages
  – \( h=3 \) → \( f^2 \) leaf nodes- room to index \( f^3 \) pages
  – …
  – \( h \) → \( f^{h-1} \) leaf nodes- room to index \( f^h \) pages!

\[ \rightarrow \text{We need a B+ Tree of height } h = \left\lceil \log_f \frac{N}{F} \right\rceil \]
Fast Insertions & Self-Balancing

- Same cost as exact search
- **Self-balancing:** B+ Tree remains **balanced** (with respect to height) even after insert

B+ Trees also (relatively) fast for single insertions!

*However, can become bottleneck if many insertions (if fill-factor slack is used up...)*
Example

- Calculate the order $p$ (order is same as fan-out) of a B+-tree.
- Suppose that the search key field is $V = 9$ bytes long, the block size is $B = 512$ bytes, a record pointer is $Pr = 7$ bytes, and a block pointer is $P = 6$ bytes.
• An internal node of the B+-tree can have up to $p$ tree pointers and $p - 1$ search field values; these must fit into a single block.

• Hence, we have:

\[(p \times P) + ((p - 1) \times V) \leq B\]

\[(P \times 6) + ((P - 1) \times 9) \leq 512\]

\[(15 \times p) \leq 521\]

So: $p = 34$
The leaf nodes of the B+-tree will have the same number of values and pointers, except that the pointers are data pointers and a next pointer. Hence, the order $p_{\text{leaf}}$ for the leaf nodes can be calculated as follows:

\[
(p_{\text{leaf}} \times (P_r + V)) + P \leq B
\]
\[
(p_{\text{leaf}} \times (7 + 9)) + 6 \leq 512
\]
\[
(16 \times p_{\text{leaf}}) \leq 506
\]

It follows that each leaf node can hold up to $p_{\text{leaf}} = 31$ key value/data pointer combinations, assuming that the data pointers are record pointers.
Insertion

• Perform a search to determine what bucket the new record should go into.
• If the bucket is not full (at most \((b-1)\) entries after the insertion), add the record.
• Otherwise, split the bucket.
  – Allocate new leaf and move half the bucket's elements to the new bucket.
  – Insert the new leaf's smallest key and address into the parent.
  – If the parent is full, split it too.
    • Add the middle key to the parent node.
  – Repeat until a parent is found that need not split.
• If the root splits, create a new root which has one key and two pointers. (That is, the value that gets pushed to the new root gets removed from the original node)
• Note: B-trees grow at the root and not at the leave
Insertion (Insert 85)

$b = f = \text{branching factor/ fan-out} = 3$
This is what we would like. But the maximum number of keys in any node is \((3-1) = 2\)
So, split.
Insertion (Insert 85)

Allocate new leaf and move half the bucket's elements to the new bucket.

\[ b = f = \text{branching factor/ fan-out} = 3 \]
Insertion (Insert 85)

\[ b = f = \text{branching factor/ fan-out} = 3 \]

Insert the new leaf's smallest key and address into the parent.
Insertion (Insert 85)

This is not allowed, as the parent is full. Need to split
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b = f = branching factor/ fan-out = 3
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Insertion (Insert 85)

If the parent is full, split it too.
Add the middle key to the parent node.

Repeat until a parent is found that needs no splitting.
If the root splits, create a new root which has one key and two pointers.

(That is, the value that gets pushed to the new root gets removed from the original node)
Deletion

• Start at root, find leaf $L$ where entry belongs.
• Remove the entry.
  – If $L$ is at least half-full, done!
  – If $L$ has fewer entries than it should,
    • If sibling (adjacent node with same parent as $L$) is more than half-full, re-distribute, borrowing an entry from it.
    • Otherwise, sibling is exactly half-full, so we can merge $L$ and sibling.
• If merge occurred, must delete entry (pointing to $L$ or sibling) from parent of $L$.
• Merge could propagate to root, decreasing height.

• [link to visualization]
  
  The degree in this visualization is actually fan-out $f$ or branching factor $b$. 

Not required for quiz or exam
• Find 86
• Delete it
• Stealing from right sibling (redistribute).
• Modify the parent node
• Finally,
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