Game Playing

Lecturer: Ji Liu
Thank Jerry Zhu for his slides

[based on slides from A. Moore http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~awm/tutorials, C. Dyer, and J. Skrentny]
Sadly, not these games…

I am here
Overview

- two-player zero-sum discrete finite deterministic game of perfect information
- Minimax search
- Alpha-beta pruning
- Large games
- two-player zero-sum discrete finite NON-deterministic game of perfect information
Two-player zero-sum discrete finite deterministic games of perfect information

Definitions:

- **Zero-sum**: one player’s gain is the other player’s loss. Does not mean *fair*.
- **Discrete**: states and decisions have discrete values
- **Finite**: finite number of states and decisions
- **Deterministic**: no coin flips, die rolls – no chance
- **Perfect information**: each player can see the complete game state. No simultaneous decisions.
Which of these are: Two-player zero-sum discrete finite deterministic games of perfect information?

**Zero-sum:** one player’s gain is the other player’s loss. Does not mean *fair*.

**Discrete:** states and decisions have discrete values

**Finite:** finite number of states and decisions

**Deterministic:** no coin flips, die rolls – no chance

**Perfect information:** each player can see the complete game state. No simultaneous decisions.

[Shamelessly copied from Andrew Moore]
Which of these are: Two-player zero-sum discrete finite deterministic games of perfect information?

- Zero-sum: one player’s gain is the other player’s loss. Does not mean fair.
- Discrete: states and decisions have discrete values
- Finite: finite number of states and decisions
- Deterministic: no coin flips, die rolls – no chance
- Perfect information: each player can see the complete game state. No simultaneous decisions.

[Shamelessly copied from Andrew Moore]
II-Nim: Max simple game

- There are 2 piles of sticks. Each pile has 2 sticks.
- Each player takes one or more sticks from one pile.
- The player who takes the last stick loses.

(ii, ii)
The game tree for II-Nim

Two players: Max and Min

Symmetry \((i \ ii) = (ii \ i)\)

Convention: score is w.r.t. the first player Max. Min’s score = \(-\) Max

Max wants the largest score
Min wants the smallest score
The game tree for II-Nim

Two players: **Max** and **Min**

Symmetry: $(i \; ii) = (ii \; i)$

Convention: score is w.r.t. the first player Max. Min’s score = $-Max$

Max wants the largest score

Min wants the smallest score
The game tree for II-Nim

Two players: Max and Min

- **Max** wants the largest score
- **Min** wants the smallest score

Symmetry: \((i \ ii) = (ii \ i)\)

Convention: score is w.r.t. the first player Max. Min’s score = – Max

Who is to move at this state:

- ((ii)) Max
- (i ii) Min
- (- ii) Max
- (i i) Max +1
- (- i) Max -1
- (i i) Max +1
- (- i) Max -1
- (- -) Max +1
- (i ii) Min
- (i ii) Min
- (- ii) Max
- (i i) Max +1
- (- i) Max -1
- (i i) Max +1
- (- i) Max -1
- (- -) Max +1

Max wants the largest score
Min wants the smallest score
The game tree for II-Nim

Two players: Max and Min

Symmetry (i ii) = (ii i)

Convention: score is w.r.t. the first player Max. Min’s score = − Max

Max wants the largest score
Min wants the smallest score
Two players: Max and Min

Symmetry: (i ii) = (ii i)

The first player always loses, if the second player plays optimally.

Major difference from standard search: The opponent has control over which action to take, when it’s his turn.

Convention: The score of the first player Max. Min’s score = − Max

Who is to move at this state?
Game theoretic value

- Game theoretic value (a.k.a. minimax value) of a node = the score of the terminal node that will be reached if both players play optimally.
- = The numbers we filled in.
- Computed bottom up
  - In Max’s turn, take the max of the children (Max will pick that maximizing action)
  - In Min’s turn, take the min of the children (Min will pick that minimizing action)
- Implemented as a modified version of DFS: minimax algorithm
function **Max-Value**$(s)$

**inputs:**
- $s$: current state in game, Max about to play

**output:** *best-score* *(for Max) available from s*

- if $(s$ is a terminal state $)$
  - then return $(terminal value of s)$
- else
  - $\alpha := -\infty$
  - for each $s'$ in Succ$(s)$
    - $\alpha := \max(\alpha, \text{Min-value}(s'))$
  - return $\alpha$

function **Min-Value**$(s)$

**output:** *best-score* *(for Min) available from s*

- if $(s$ is a terminal state $)$
  - then return $(terminal value of s)$
- else
  - $\beta := \infty$
  - for each $s'$ in Succ$(s)$
    - $\beta := \min(\beta, \text{Max-value}(s'))$
  - return $\beta$

- Time complexity?
- Space complexity?
Minimax example

max

min

max

min

max
Minimax algorithm

function **Max-Value**(s)
inputs:
    s: current state in game, Max about to play
output: *best-score (for Max) available from s*
    if ( s is a terminal state )
        then return ( terminal value of s )
    else
        α := –∞
        for each s’ in Succ(s)
            α := max( α , **Min-value**(s’))
        return α

function **Min-Value**(s)
output: *best-score (for Min) available from s*
    if ( s is a terminal state )
        then return ( terminal value of s)
    else
        β := ∞
        for each s’ in Succs(s)
            β := min( β , **Max-value**(s’))
        return β

• Time complexity? O(b^m) ← bad
• Space complexity? O(bm)
Against a dumber opponent?

- Max surely loses!
- If Min not optimal,
- Which way?
- Why?
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Next: alpha-beta pruning

Gives the same game theoretic values as minimax, but prunes part of the game tree.

"If you have an idea that is surely bad, don't take the time to see how truly awful it is." -- Pat Winston
Alpha-Beta Motivation

max

min

- Depth-first order
- After returning from A, Max can get at least 100 at S
- After returning from F, Max can get at most 20 at B
- At this point, Max loses interest in B
- There is no need to explore G. The subtree at G is pruned. Saves time.
Alpha-beta pruning

function Max-Value \((s, \alpha, \beta)\)
inputs:
  \(s\): current state in game, Max about to play
  \(\alpha\): best score (highest) for Max along path to \(s\)
  \(\beta\): best score (lowest) for Min along path to \(s\)
output: \(\min(\beta, \text{best-score (for Max) available from } s)\)
  if ( \(s\) is a terminal state )
    then return ( terminal value of \(s\) )
  else for each \(s'\) in Succ\((s)\)
    \(\alpha := \max(\alpha, \text{Min-value}(s', \alpha, \beta))\)
    if ( \(\alpha \geq \beta\) ) then return \(\beta\) /* alpha pruning */
  return \(\alpha\)

function Min-Value \((s, \alpha, \beta)\)
output: \(\max(\alpha, \text{best-score (for Min) available from } s)\)
  if ( \(s\) is a terminal state )
    then return ( terminal value of \(s\) )
  else for each \(s'\) in Succ\((s)\)
    \(\beta := \min(\beta, \text{Max-value}(s', \alpha, \beta))\)
    if (\(\alpha \geq \beta\) ) then return \(\alpha\) /* beta pruning */
  return \(\beta\)

Starting from the root: Max-Value(root, \(-\infty, +\infty\))
Alpha pruning example

max

min

- Keep two bounds along the path
  - $\alpha$: the best Max can do
  - $\beta$: the best (smallest) Min can do
- If at anytime $\alpha$ exceeds $\beta$, the remaining children are pruned.
Alpha pruning example

max

min

\[ \alpha = -\infty \]
\[ \beta = +\infty \]

\[ \alpha = -\infty \]
\[ \beta = +\infty \]
Alpha pruning example

```
max

min

\alpha = -\infty
\beta = 200

\alpha = -\infty
\beta = +\infty
```

![Diagram showing alpha pruning example](attachment:image.png)
Alpha pruning example

max

min

\[\alpha = -\infty, \quad \beta = +\infty\]

\[\alpha = -\infty, \quad \beta = 100\]

The diagram illustrates the alpha pruning algorithm with the following values:

- Node S: max\(\alpha = -\infty, \beta = +\infty\)
- Node A: max\(\alpha = -\infty, \beta = 100\)
- Nodes C, D, E, F: min\(\alpha = -\infty, \beta = 100\)
- Node G
Alpha pruning example

max

min

\[ \alpha = -\infty \quad \beta = 100 \]

\[ \alpha = 100 \quad \beta = +\infty \]
Alpha pruning example

```
max

min
```

```
S
  α=100
  β=+∞

A
  α=-∞
  β=100

B
  α=100
  β=120

C
  200

D
  100

E
  120

F
  20

G
```
Alpha pruning example

max

min

α=-∞
β=100

α=100
β=+∞

α=100
β=20

α=100
β=20
Beta pruning example

- Keep two bounds along the path
  - \(\alpha\): the best Max can do
  - \(\beta\): the best (smallest) Min can do
- If at anytime \(\alpha\) exceeds \(\beta\), the remaining children are pruned.
Yet another alpha-beta pruning example

- Keep two bounds along the path
  - $\alpha$: the best Max can do on the path
  - $\beta$: the best (smallest) Min can do on the path
- If at anytime $\alpha$ exceeds $\beta$, the remaining children are pruned.

[Example from James Skrentny]
**Alpha-beta pruning example**

- Keep two bounds along the path
  - $\alpha$: the best Max can do on the path
  - $\beta$: the best (smallest) Min can do on the path
- If a max node exceeds $\beta$, it is pruned.
- If a min node goes below $\alpha$, it is pruned.

[Example from James Skrentny]
Alpha-beta pruning example
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  - \( \alpha \): the best Max can do on the path
  - \( \beta \): the best (smallest) Min can do on the path
• If a max node exceeds \( \beta \), it is pruned.
• If a min node goes below \( \alpha \), it is pruned.

[Example from James Skrentny]
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[Example from James Skrentny]
How effective is alpha-beta pruning?

- Depends on the order of successors!
- In the best case, the number of nodes to search is $O(b^{m/2})$, the square root of minimax’s cost.
- This occurs when each player's best move is the leftmost child.
- In DeepBlue (IBM Chess), the average branching factor was about 6 with alpha-beta instead of 35-40 without.
- The worst case is no pruning at all.
Game-playing for large games

• We’ve seen how to find game theoretic values. But it is too expensive for large games.
• What do real chess-playing programs do?
  ▪ They can’t possibly search the full game tree
  ▪ They must respond in limited time
  ▪ They can’t pre-compute a solution
Game-playing for large games

• The most popular solution: heuristic evaluation functions for games
  ▪ ‘Leaves’ are intermediate nodes at a depth cutoff, not terminals
  ▪ Heuristically estimate their values
  ▪ Huge amount of knowledge engineering (R&N 6.4)
  ▪ Example: Tic-Tac-Toe:
  (number of 3-lengths open for me)-(number of 3-lengths open for you)

• Each move is a new depth-cutoff game-tree search (as opposed to search the complete game-tree once).

• Depth-cutoff can increase using iterative deepening, as long as there is time left.
More on large games

- Battle the limited search depth
  - Horizon effect: things can suddenly get much worse just outside your search depth (‘horizon’), but you can’t see that
  - Quiescence / secondary search: select the most ‘interesting’ nodes at the search boundary, expand them further beyond the search depth
- Incorporate book moves
  - Pre-compute / record opening moves, end games
Two-player zero-sum discrete finite NONdeterministic games of perfect information

- There is an element of chance (coin flip, dice roll, etc.)
- “Chance node” in game tree, besides Max and Min nodes. Neither player makes a choice. Instead a random choice is made according to the outcome probabilities.
Solving non-deterministic games

- Easy to extend minimax to non-deterministic games
- At chance node, instead of using max() or min(), compute the average (weighted by the probabilities).

\[
4 \times 0.5 + (-20) \times 0.5 = -8
\]

What’s the value for the chance node at right?
What action should Max take at root?
The play will be optimal. In what sense?
What you should know

- What is a two-player zero-sum discrete finite deterministic game of perfect information
- What is a game tree
- What is the minimax value of a game
- Minimax search
- Alpha-beta pruning
- Basic understanding of very large games
- How to extend minimax to non-deterministic games