

1. Some language designers argue that object orientation eliminates the need for nested subroutines. Do you agree? Why or why not?
2. In several object-oriented languages, including C++ and Eiffel, a derived class can hide members of the base class. In C++, for example, we can declare a base class to be `public`, `protected`, or `private`:

```
class B : public A {
    // public members of A are public members of B
    // protected members of A are protected members of B
    ...
class C : protected A {
    // public and protected members of A are protected members of C
    ...
class D : private A {
    // public and protected members of A are private members of C
    ...
```

IN all cases, `private` members of A are inaccessible to methods of B, and C.

Consider the impact of `protected` and `private` base classes on dynamic method binding. Under what circumstances can a reference to an object of class B, C, or D be assigned into a variable of type A\*?

3. What happens to the implementation of a class if we redefine a data member? For example, suppose we have :

```
class foo {
public:
    int a;
    char *b;
};

class bar : public foo {
public:
    float c;
    int b;
}
```

Does the representation of a `bar` object contain one `b` or two? If two, are both accessible, or only one? Under what circumstances?

4. Why does multiple inheritance complicate the vtable implementation when using dynamic method binding? Describe one method of handling it for a class C that inherits from two classes A and B. What would the resulting assembly pseudo-code look like (assume a RISC-style assembly-level architecture) to access the *n*th virtual method of B?