Debora Field (University of Oxford) debora@cs.ox.ac.uk Stephen Pulman (University of Oxford) **Denise Whitelock** (The Open University)

Objective

Design a classification scheme for margin comments

Goal

Explore the linguistic relationships between margin comments and the passages to which they point

Ultimate Aim

Build an automatic formative feedback generator

Corpus

- 1,408 assessed university essay assignments
- 24,387 English natural language margin comments by 20 markers...
- Many corpus margin comments look like informal conversation
 - Very short, fragmented, non-sententials
 - Fillers •
 - Questions
 - Contractions

Are margin comments conversation? No!

- Looks like a WH question
 - BUT marker M is not desiring or expecting addressee A to supply the requested information to M
- Looks like an action directive
 - BUT M's comments were added to final, submitted version of essay and M did not desire or expect that A would revise it

But rarely

explicitly

- Common ground 🗸 Accommodation \checkmark Turn taking Grounding
- Margin comments are judgements
 - Express WHETHER the associated essay part attained the required standard
 - Express HOW the associated essay part attained (or failed to attain) the required standard

The Pragmatics of Margin Comments: An empirical study

Marker judgements can be grouped into a few judgement types

Identifying a comment's targeted skill is often critical to understanding its message

Result: 3-layered categorisation scheme

.

.

........

.......

......

••••	miss
*******	reject
	condemn
	commend
	doubt

Judgement



[2a]: Exceller	nt!			
[5a]: Why no	ot?			
[2b]: OK, we	ll-argued.			
[5b]: Why bo	old?			
[2c]: Who, ho	ow, when?			
[5c]: Who by	?			
[2d]: What's	the difference?			
[5d]: Who fo	cussed?			
[3a]: Who, h	ow, when?			
[3b]: Explain	ı why			
[6a]: No cond	clusion			
[6b]: No apos	strophe			
[6C]: No itali	cs			
[6d]: No issu	d]: No issues			
[6e]: Not sure I understand.				
[3c]: A strong argument				
[3d]: A big claim!				
[3e]: Another long quote				
[3f]: This section is a bit short.				
Layer	Cohen's Kappa			

Layer	Cohen's Kappa
Attitude	.874
Target	.791
Act	.822











b

miss M believes something is missing that should be present **reject** M believes something is present that should not be **condemn** M believes something is present that needed amending **commend** M considers that something in the essay has attained or exceeded the required standard, or is pleasing or interesting to M **doubt** M believes something present is of questionable value

.... Targeted skill is argument, therefore Judgement is miss Targeted skill is **formatting**, therefore Judgement is **doubt** • Targeted skill is **situating** (in literature), therefore Judgement is **miss**Targeted skill is **presentation**, therefore Judgement is **condemn**

structure		desc	ription
punctuation	ni	instr	uction
formatting		instr	uction
unknown			
clarity		asse	ertion

Target



Act set question 1866 pol question 2359 unclassed 26

544 Mar. 10 10 200