

## Space Complexity — Savitch's Theorem

### Space Complexity of TMs

The **space complexity** of a deterministic Turing machine  $M$

... for each  $n$ , it is the maximum number of tape cells that  $M$ 's head touches in processing any input of length  $n$

The **space complexity** of a nondeterministic Turing machine  $M$

... take the maximum for all computation paths of  $M$  on all inputs of length  $n$

For any  $f : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ , a Turing machine  $M$  is  $f(n)$  **space** if  $M$ 's space complexity is  $f$ .

**Note:** Time bounded Turing machines always halt, but space bounded Turing machines may or may not halt.

(By a theorem of Sipser, if  $f$  is *space-constructible* – see p. 336 Sipser – we can convert to an  $f$ -space TM that always halts, i.e., a decider.)

## Whether the input can be modified or not

For functions  $f$  such that  $f(n) < n$  for some  $n$ , we treat the space complexity differently:

- the Turing machine has more than one tape,
- the input is given with **markers indicating two ends** and **will never be modified**,
- only the work tapes are considered in measuring the space complexity of a computation.

*Remark:* In some versions, intended to deal with space complexities smaller than  $\log n$ , markers are used to indicate the two ends of the allowable space of  $f(n)$  cells on each work tape. But  $f(n)$  cannot be an arbitrary, perhaps non-computable function, or else we could prove undecidable problems to be  $O(1)$ -space decidable!

## Space Complexity Classes

**Definition.** Let  $f : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  be a function. Then

$\text{SPACE}(f(n)) = \{L \mid L \text{ is decided by an } O(f(n)) \text{ space deterministic Turing machine}\}$ , and.

$\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) = \{L \mid L \text{ is decided by an } O(f(n)) \text{ space nondeterministic Turing machine}\}$ .

**Example:**  $\text{SAT} \in \text{SPACE}(n)$ .

Consider a 2-tape TM that, on input a formula  $\phi$  of  $n$  variables, **tries all assignments to  $\phi$** , each viewed as an  $n$  bit binary number, and accepts if and only if one assignment that satisfies  $\phi$  has been found.

Such a machine correctly decides  $\text{SAT}$  and  $O(n)$  space.

## Space Complexity May Depend on Encoding Schemes

**Example:**  $CLIQUE \in SPACE(\sqrt{n})$

Suppose that the adjacency matrix is used for representing a graph.

Consider a 2-tape TM that, on input of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  of some  $m$  nodes and a number  $k \geq 1$ , **tries all possible subsets of  $V$** , viewed as an  $m$ -bit binary number, and accepts if and only if one subset is of size  $k$  and is a clique in  $G$ .

Let the encoding length be  $n$ . Then  $n \geq m^2$  and the space requirement is  $O(m)$ . So,  $CLIQUE \in SPACE(\sqrt{n})$ .

## Savitch's Theorem

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $f(n) \geq C \log n$  for some constant  $C > 0$ . Then  $\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) \subseteq \text{SPACE}(f(n)^2)$ .*

**Proof** Let  $f(n) \geq \log n$ ,  $L \in \text{NSPACE}(f(n))$  via a 2-tape NTM  $M$  with an unmodifiable input tape and a work tape. Let  $x, |x| = n$ , be an input. Since a marker is provided at each end of each tape, we can assume that  $M$  erases the work tape cells and moves the heads to the leftmost positions before halting so that **there is a unique accepting configuration.**

## Encoding Configurations

Each configuration of  $M$  on  $x$  is a combination of the **state**, the **input head position**, the **work tape head position**, and the **work tape contents**, requiring  $O(1)$  symbols,  $O(\log n)$  symbols,  $O(\log f(n))$  symbols, and  $f(n)$  symbols, respectively.

Since  $f(n) \geq C \log n$ , the total number of symbols needed is at most  $k f(n)$  for some fixed  $k$ .

There are at most  $2^{lf(n)}$  configurations for some fixed  $l$ .

## Reachability

For configurations  $c, c'$  and an integer  $t \geq 0$ , define

**$CANYIELD(c, c', t) = 1$  if  $c$  yields  $c'$  within  $2^t$  steps  
and 0 otherwise.**

Let  $c_0$  be the initial configuration and  $c_{accept}$  be the unique accepting configuration. Then  $x \in L$  if and only if  $CANYIELD(c_0, c_{accept}, lf(n)) = 1$ .

For each  $c, c'$ ,  $CANYIELD(c, c', 0) = 1$  if and only if  $c \Rightarrow c'$ , so whether  $CANYIELD(c, c', 0) = 1$  can be tested by simulating all possible single step moves of the TM from configuration  $c$ .

For each  $c, c'$ , and  $t > 0$ ,  $CANYIELD(c, c', t) = 1$  if and only if there is some  $d$  such that  $CANYIELD(c, d, t-1) = CANYIELD(d, c', t-1) = 1$ .



## Deterministic Recursive Reachability Testing

**Call**  $CANYIELD(c_0, c_{accept}, lf(n))$  **and accept if and only if 1 is returned.**

$CANYIELD(c, c', t)$

**if**  $t = 0$  **then** simulate all possible one-step moves of  $M$   
from  $c$ , and return 1 if  $c'$  is generated

**else**

**for** each configuration  $d$

**if**  $CANYIELD(c, d, t - 1) = CANYIELD(d, c', t - 1) = 1$   
**then** return 1.

return 0.

The recursion depth is  $t$ , so the space requirement is  $O(kf(n) \cdot lf(n)) = O(f(n)^2)$ . 