## A Missing details

## A. 1 Proof for Corollary 1

Proof. For every cluster $i$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{i} & =\int_{x} w_{i} P_{r}(x) \log D_{i}(x)+P_{f_{i}}(x) \log \left(1-D_{i}(x)\right) d x \\
& =\int_{x} P_{r_{i}}(x) \log D_{i}(x)+P_{f_{i}}(x) \log \left(1-D_{i}(x)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\frac{\partial L_{i}}{\partial D_{i}}=0$, we have:

$$
D_{i}^{*}(x)=\frac{P_{r_{i}}(x)}{P_{r_{i}}(x)+P_{f_{i}}(x)}
$$

Then we substitute this optimal into the summation in Eq. 11:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L= & \int_{x} P_{r_{i}}(x) \log \frac{P_{r_{i}}(x)}{P_{r_{i}}(x)+P_{f_{i}}(x)} \\
& +P_{f_{i}}(x) \log \frac{P_{f_{i}}(x)}{P_{r_{i}}(x)+P_{f_{i}}(x)} d x \\
= & \int_{x} P_{r_{i}}(x) \log \frac{P_{r_{i}}(x)}{\left(P_{r_{i}}(x)+P_{f_{i}}(x)\right) / 2} \\
& +P_{f_{i}}(x) \log \frac{P_{f_{i}}(x)}{\left(P_{r_{i}}(x)+P_{f_{i}}(x)\right) / 2} d x-\left(w_{i}+1\right) \log 2 \\
= & K L\left(P_{r_{i}} \| \frac{P_{r_{i}}+P_{f_{i}}}{2}\right)+K L\left(P_{f_{i}} \| \frac{P_{r_{i}}+P_{f_{i}}}{2}\right) \\
& -\left(w_{i}+1\right) \log 2 \\
= & -\left(w_{i}+1\right) \log 2+2 J S D\left(P_{r_{i}} \| P_{f_{i}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we already have the optimal solution for all clusters as given in Eq. 13, we can say that for each cluster, we minimize the JS divergence between real data and fake data. When summed up, the optimal remains since each term is independent with others.

## A. 2 Derivation for $\phi_{i}^{*}$

This result can be easily obtained by solving the optimization problem:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\max _{\phi} & \underset{x \sim P_{r_{i}}}{\mathbb{E}} \log \phi_{i} \\
\text { s.t. } & \sum_{i=1}^{K} \phi_{i}=1
\end{array}
$$

We can derive that

$$
\phi_{i}=\frac{\sum_{x} P\left(z \mid x, \theta^{\text {old }}\right)}{\sum_{z} \sum_{x} P\left(z \mid x, \theta^{\text {old }}\right)}=\frac{N_{i}}{N} .
$$

## A. 3 Results on all 40 CelebA attributes

Here in Tab. 1 we show the experiment results of GAN-EM on all 40 CelebA attributes with both unsupervised and semisupervised settings. We bold top three results for unsupervised clustering and semi-supervised classification respectively.

## B Implementation details

## B. 1 MNIST

MNIST dataset has 60,000 training samples, among which we assign 10,000 samples as validation data. The images are $28 \times 28$ pixels of which the values are normalized to $[0,1]$. The input of the generator is 72 dimension ( 62 dimension random noise and 10 dimension conditional class label)The generator has one fully connected layer with 6272 hidden units ( $128 \times 7 \times 7$ ) followed by 2 transpose convolutional layers. The first transpose convolutional layer has 64 filters of which the size is $4 \times 4$. The convolutional operation is stride 2 with 1 zero padding. The second convoluational layer uses the same structure with the first one except that the number of filters is 1 . Then the output of the generator is in size $28 \times 28$ which is the same as the real image size. The discriminator also has 3 convolutional layers with 64, 128, 256 filters respectively. All of these 3 layers uses kernel size 4, stride 2 and zero padding 1 . Then 2 fully connected layers are used with 1024 and 11 hidden units respectively. E-net shares the same structure with the discriminator except that the number of units in last layer is 10 . Tab. 2 describes the network structure in detail.

We apply RMSprop optimizer to all these 3 networks with learning rate 0.0002 (decay rate: 0.98). The random noise of generator is in uniform distribution. In each M-step, there are 5 epoches with a minibatch size of 70 for both the generated batch and the real samples batch. We use a same update frequency for generator and discriminator. For E-step, we generate samples using well trained generator with batch size of 200 , then we apply 1000 iterations to update E-net.

For semi-supervised classification, we add the supervision to both E-net. At the end of E-step, we train the E-net by labeled data until the prediction accuracy given by E net is $100 \%$. Then we feed the real data to E-net to obtain $P\left(c \mid x_{\text {real }}\right)$ which is the same as unsupervised clustering.

## B. 2 SVHN

Similar to MNIST, SVHN is also a digits recognition datasets with about 53,000 training images ( 32 pixels). Since the images of SVHN are all from the real world and many of them are blurry, the recognition problem is much more difficult than MNIST which is preprocessed to grey-scale images. We normalize the images to $[-1,1]$. We use almost the same network structure with MNIST with only a slight difference. The details of GAN are in Tab. 3.

For semi supervision, we use the same strategies with MNIST which is discussed above.

## B. 3 CelebA

CelebA dataset is a large-scale human face dataset with more than 200k images. Each image is annotated by 40 binary attributes. For example, for gender attribute, we first select all 12 k male images, then we select the same amount of female images. The selection of female images is based on such principle: all other attributes except for gender should maintain as much purity as possible. Since we are unable to guarantee all the other attributes are $100 \%$ pure, we regard those impure attributes as noise. The selected images are cropped to $64 \times 64$

Table 1: Error rates of GAN-EM on all 40 CelebA attributes

| Attributes | Unsupervised | Semi-supervised <br> (100 labels) | Attributes | Unsupervised | Semi-supervised <br> (100 labels) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goatee | 0.4103 | 0.2218 | Bald | 0.4855 | 0.4001 |
| Narrow_Eyes | 0.3308 | 0.1757 | Arched_Eyebrows | 0.4447 | 0.2993 |
| Bangs | 0.3592 | 0.1506 | Wavy_Hair | 0.3376 | 0.2657 |
| Gray_Hair | 0.4538 | 0.1849 | Mouth_Slightly_Open | 0.4272 | 0.1895 |
| Big_Lips | 0.4804 | 0.1767 | Young | 0.4557 | 0.3216 |
| Heavy_Makeup | 0.2366 | 0.2218 | No_Beard | 0.4869 | 0.4315 |
| Attractive | 0.4749 | 0.3736 | Pointy_Nose | 0.3426 | 0.1847 |
| Bags_Under_Eyes | 0.4468 | 0.3545 | Bushy_Eyebrows | 0.4447 | 0.3592 |
| High_Cheekbones | 0.4455 | 0.2637 | Double_Chin | 0.4546 | 0.3294 |
| Oval_Face | 0.4858 | 0.2583 | gender | 0.3683 | 0.1446 |
| Rosy_Cheeks | 0.3518 | 0.2891 | hat | 0.2941 | 0.1598 |
| Sideburns | 0.4726 | 0.2507 | glass | 0.1662 |  |
| Mustache | 0.4539 | 0.2947 | Male | 0.2746 |  |
| Brown_Hair | 0.4463 | 0.4362 | Receding_Hairline | 0.4763 | 0.4847 |
| Pale_Skin | 0.4736 | 0.4457 | Wearing_Necklace | 0.4758 | 0.2758 |
| Chubby | 0.4478 | 0.3242 | Wearing_Necktie | 0.4805 | 0.4157 |
| Big_Nose | 0.4695 | 0.4337 | Wearing_Lipstick | 0.4141 | 0.1601 |
| Blurry | 0.3045 | 0.2188 | Straight_Hair | 0.4687 | 0.3102 |
| Black_Hair | 0.4555 | 0.3949 | Wearing_Earrings | 0.4393 | 0.3686 |
| Blond_Hair | 0.3145 | 0.3057 | 5_o_Clock_Shadow | 0.4435 | 0.2822 |

Table 2: GAN structure for MNIST.

| Generator | Discriminator | E-net |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input 72 dim vector | Input $28 \times 28$ | Input $28 \times 28$ |
| FC ( $128 \times 7 \times 7$ ) | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:64 s: $2 \mathrm{~d}: 1$ | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:64 s:2 d:1 |
| BN 1Relu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) |
| $4 \times 4$ Deconv, f:64 s:2 d:1 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f: $128 \mathrm{~s}: 2 \mathrm{~d}: 1$ | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f: $128 \mathrm{~s}: 2 \mathrm{~d}: 1$ |
| BN 1Relu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) |
| $4 \times 4$ Deconv, f: $1 \mathrm{~s}: 2 \mathrm{~d}: 1$ | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:256 s:2 d: 1 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:256 s:2 d:1 |
|  | BN 1Relu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) |
|  | FC(1024), BN IRelu(0.2) | FC(1024), BN 1Relu(0.2) |
|  | FC(11) | FC(10) |

BN: batch normalization, FC: fully connected layer. f: filter number. s: stride size. d: padding size
Table 3: GAN structure for SVHN.

| Generator | Discriminator | E-net |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Input 72 dim vector | Input $28 \times 28$ | Input $28 \times 28$ |
| FC $(128 \times 4 \times 4)$ | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:64 s:2 d:1 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:64 s:2 d:1 |
| BN $1 \operatorname{Relu}(0.2)$ | BN 1 Relu(0.2) | BNelu(0.2) |
| $4 \times 4 \operatorname{Deconv,~f:64~s:2~d:1~}$ | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:128 s:2 d:1 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:128 s:2 d:1 |
| BN lRelu(0.2) | BN lRelu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) |
| $4 \times 4 \operatorname{Deconv,~f:32~s:2~d:1~}$ | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:256 s:2 d:1 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:256 s:2 d:1 |
| BN lRelu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) |
| $4 \times 4$ Deconv, f:3 s:2 d:1 | FC(1024), BN lRelu(0.2) | FC(1024), BN lRelu(0.2) |
|  | FC(11) | FC(10) |

BN: batch normalization, FC: fully connected layer. f: filter number. s: stride size. d: padding size
pixels and are normalized to $[-1,1]$. We apply the same strategy for the other attributes. The network details are in Tab. 4.

Table 4: GAN structure for CelebA.

| Generator | Discriminator | E-net |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Input 300 dim vector | Input $64 \times 64$ | Input $64 \times 64$ |
| $4 \times 4$ Deconv, f:1024 s:1 d:0 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f: $128 \mathrm{~s}: 2 \mathrm{~d}: 1$ | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f: $128 \mathrm{~s}: 2 \mathrm{~d}: 1$ |
| BN $1 R \operatorname{Relu}(0.2)$ | BN $1 R \operatorname{Relu}(0.2)$ | BN $1 R \operatorname{Relu}(0.2)$ |
| $4 \times 4$ Deconv, f:512 s:1 d:0 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:256 s:2 d:1 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:256 s:2 d:1 |
| BN 1 Relu(0.2) | BN $1 R \operatorname{Relu}(0.2)$ | BN IRelu(0.2) |
| $4 \times 4$ Deconv, f:256 s:1 d:0 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:512 s:2 d: 1 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:512 s:2 d: 1 |
| BN 1Relu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) |
| $4 \times 4$ Deconv, f:128 s:1 d:0 | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f: 1024 s: $2 \mathrm{~d}: 1$ | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f: 1024 s: 2 d : 1 |
| BN 1 Relu(0.2) | BN $1 R \operatorname{Relu}(0.2)$ | BN IRelu(0.2) |
| $4 \times 4$ Deconv, f:3 s: $1 \mathrm{~d}: 0$ | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f: $3 \mathrm{~s}: 1 \mathrm{~d}: 0$ | $4 \times 4$ Conv, f:3 s: $1 \mathrm{~d}: 0$ |
|  | BN 1Relu(0.2) | BN 1Relu(0.2) |
|  | FC(3) | FC(2) |

BN: batch normalization, FC: fully connected layer. f: filter number. s: stride size. d: padding size

