Network Applications and Application-Layer Protocols

Network applications:
- running in end systems (hosts)
- distributed, communicating using network
- use communication services provided by lower layer protocols (TCP, UDP)

Application-layer comm. protocols:
- define comm. interface between application components
- open protocol ⇒ components implemented independently can inter-operate

Web and HTTP
- Web: the application for distributed publishing and viewing of content
- Client/server model
  - server: hosts publish content and sends the content upon request
  - client: requests, receives, and displays content
- HTTP: the comm. protocol supporting the web
  - request/response format

Plain Text Headers
HTTP headers are plain text. TCP/UDP/IP/Ethernet headers are binary.

Issues:
- Efficiency
- Portability
- Easy for human understanding, debugging
Performance of HTTP Persistency

TCP per-connection overhead:
- Connection establishment
- Congestion control: slow start

Non-persistent HTTP (1.0)
- At most one object is sent over a TCP connection.
- Pays TCP per-connection overhead for each object.

Persistent HTTP (1.1)
- Multiple objects can be sent over single TCP connection between the browser and web server.

Additional Example Applications

- Remote console
  - Components: host machine and console client
  - Comm. protocol: SSH
- Electronic mail
  - Components: mail servers and mail readers
  - Comm. protocol: SMTP, POP3, IMAP
- Domain name system (DNS)
- Network file system (NFS)
- Peer-to-peer content sharing (Gnutella, BitTorrent)
- …

Electronic Mail

Two types of components:
- Mail servers
  - mailbox contains incoming messages for users
  - message queue of outgoing (to be sent) mail messages
- User agents
  - a.k.a. “mail reader”
  - composing, editing, reading mail messages
  - e.g., pine, Outlook, Web browser

Two types of protocols:
- Mail transfer protocol
  - from sender agent to the receiver’s mail server
  - SMTP (simple mail transfer protocol)
- Mail access protocol
  - the receiver pulls mails from server to agent
  - POP, IMAP, proprietary prot.
SMTP Interaction between mail servers at cs.rochester.edu (client) and gmail.com (server)

S: 220 gmail.com
C: HELO cs.rochester.edu
S: 250 Hello cs.rochester.edu, pleased to meet you
C: MAIL FROM: <alice@cs.rochester.edu>
S: 250 alice@cs.rochester.edu... Sender ok
C: RCPT TO: <bob@gmail.com>
S: 250 bob@gmail.com ... Recipient ok
C: .
S: 250 Message accepted for delivery
C: QUIT
S: 221 gmail.com closing connection

Try SMTP Interaction Yourself

- telnet servername 25
- see 220 reply from server
- enter HELO, MAIL FROM, RCPT TO, DATA, QUIT cmds

This lets you
- send email without using a normal email client
- ...

Mail Access Protocols

- SMTP: delivery to receiver’s server and stored there
- Mail access protocol: retrieval from server
  - POP3: Post Office Protocol
    - authorization and download
  - IMAP: Internet Mail Access Protocol
    - manipulation of stored messages on server
  - Proprietary: Gmail, Outlook-Exchange, etc.

DNS: Domain Name System

- People: multiple identifiers
  - SSN – good for machine bookkeeping, indexing
  - name – human friendly, easy to remember
- Internet hosts:
  - IP address - used for addressing, routing on the Internet
  - “name”, e.g., cycle1.cs.rochester.edu - human friendly
- Q: map between IP addresses and names?
  - DNS query: find the IP address for a given name
    - not part of Internet as a network
    - ease of use for Internet applications
      - “ssh cycle1.cs.rochester.edu” vs. “ssh 128.151.67.99”
Domain Name System: Overview

- Distributed database
  - Implemented with collaboration of many name servers distributed all over the network
- DNS queries
  - Name lookup: find the IP address for a given name
  - Others: find mail server for a domain, ipv4/v6 address mapping, ...

DNS: Decentralized and Hierarchical

- Decentralized
  - a large number of servers/sites work together
- Why not centralize DNS?
  - performance bottleneck
  - single point of failure
  - can only be close to few hosts ⇒ long latency to many others
- Hierarchical/partitioned
  - there are different types of name servers in charge of different mappings
  - What if massively replicating it?
    - high overhead of maintaining consistency: hard to make any changes on the data

Types of Name Servers

- Local name server (defined for a particular group of hosts):
  - each organization often has a local (default) name server
  - used when one of the hosts in its domain initiates a DNS query
  - first stop for a DNS query
- Authoritative name server (defined for a particular group of hosts):
  - maintain "IP address – name" mapping for a group of hosts so it can answer DNS query for these hosts
  - used when one of the hosts in its domain is the target of a DNS query
  - last stop for a DNS query (if ever reached)
  - often the same as local name server for an organization
- Each local NS may not know all authoritative NSes
  - something is missing ...

Root Name Servers

- Root name server (defined globally)
  - bridging between local NSs and authoritative NSes
  - contacted by local name server that cannot resolve name
- What it does:
  - contacts authoritative name server
  - gets result and returns it to the requesting local name server

[Diagram showing root name servers and their locations]
DNS Query in Action

Host surf.kai.com wants IP addr. of xyz.cs.rochester.edu
1. Contacts its local DNS server, dns.kai.com
2. dns.kai.com contacts root NS
3. root NS contacts authoritative name server, dns.cs.rochester.edu

Note that the destination host is not involved in the lookup.

Intermediate Name Servers

Root name server:
- May not know authoritative name server
- May know intermediate name server: who to contact to find authoritative name server

Iterative Queries

Recursive query:
- Puts burden of name resolution on contacted name server

Iterative query:
- Contacted server replies with name of server for next contact
  - “I don’t know this name, but ask this server”

What is the real difference here?

DNS Caching

- Once a name server learns mapping, it caches mapping
  - Next query for the same name can be answered directly
  - Can be at any step of the name lookup (even at end host), but not at root name server

- Cache entries timeout (and removed) after some time
  - As long as a day; as short as a few minutes

- Timeout is necessary because the mapping can change
  - Switch Web server to a more powerful machine ⇒ change the mapping between www.cs.rochester.edu to IP address of the new machine
  - Load balancing
**DNS Properties**

- **Scalability**
  - poor scalability at the few root name servers
  - made more scalable by iterative queries and caching
- **Reliability**
  - problems at (even a single) root name server(s) can cause big problem
  - again, caching helps improve reliability
- **Consistency**
  - DNS caching is replication without consistency guarantee
  - only feasible for stable name-IP address mapping, may not be suitable for more dynamic information

**TCP vs. UDP**

- We saw applications:
  - Web/HTTP
  - Email/SMTP/POP3/IMAP
  - DNS
- They build on some transport services.
  - TCP or UDP?

**Disclaimer**

- Parts of the lecture slides contain original work of James Kurose, Larry Peterson, and Keith Ross. The slides are intended for the sole purpose of instruction of computer networks at the University of Rochester. All copyrighted materials belong to their original owner(s).