



# Surprisal as a Predictor of Essay Quality

GAURAV KHARKWAL<sup>1</sup>, SMARANDA MURESAN<sup>2</sup>

gaurav.kharkwal@gmail.com, smara@ccls.columbia.edu

1. Department of Psychology and Center for Cognitive Science, Rutgers University, New Brunswick  
2. Center for Computational Learning Systems, Columbia University



## Summary

- We bring together work from psycholinguistics and NLP.
- Through corpora studies, we examine the relation between sentence processing complexity and essay quality.
- Essays of greater overall complexity tend to have lower scores, and vice versa.

## Surprisal Theory

- **Surprisal** is a psycholinguistic model of sentence processing complexity (Hale, 2001; Levy, 2008).
- Word-level processing cost estimated as negative log-prob of word given preceding context:

$$Surp(w_i) \propto -\log P(w_i | w_{1..i-1}, \text{CONTEXT})$$

## Computing Surprisal

- We used a top-down parser trained on WSJ corpus (Roark, 2009), which provided three measures:
  - **Syntactic surprisal**: unexpectedness of POS cat of word given sentential context.
  - **Lexical surprisal**: unexpectedness of word given sentential context and POS cat.
  - **Total surprisal**: sum of Syntactic and Lexical.

## Experiment 1

### Introduction

- Investigated whether EFL training improves essay quality, using essays written by EFL students across various terms.
- Examined whether essays' surprisal values decrease after training.

## Experiment 1 (contd.)

### Corpus

- Uppsala Student English corpus (Axelsson, 2000).
  - 1,489 essays written by 440 EFL students.
- 116 essays were randomly selected:
  - 38 pairs on topic *Analysis*
  - 20 pairs on topic *Argumentation*
  - Each pair written by the same student across 2 terms

### Methods

- Computed surprisal values using Roark's parser.
- Evaluated group mean differences across the two terms using linear mixed-effects regression models for the two topics:

$$Surp \sim Term + (1|Subject)$$

### Results and Discussion

Topic	Term	Mean (Syn)	SD (Syn)	Mean (Lex)	SD (Lex)	Mean (Total)	SD (Total)
<i>Analysis</i>	Term1	2.37	1.86	3.97	3.24	6.34	3.32
	Term2	2.34	1.85	3.94	3.23	6.28	3.30
<i>Argumentation</i>	Term1	2.34	1.85	3.90	3.23	6.24	3.29
	Term2	2.28	1.85	3.87	3.24	6.15	3.36

- Despite trends, no consistent indication of an effect of EFL training on essays' surprisal scores.
- Absence of essay scores prevented direct evaluation of the link between surprisal and essay quality.

## Experiment 2

### Introduction

- Directly investigated link between surprisal and essay quality using a pre-scored set of essays.
- Evaluated whether surprisal values are correlated with essays' scores.

## Experiment 2 (contd.)

### Corpus

- ETS's corpus used for NLI (Blanchard, et al, 2013).
  - 12,100 essays on 8 topics scored as *High*, *Medium*, or *Low*.
- 3,975 essays were randomly selected:
  - 1,325 per score category.

### Methods

- Computed surprisal values as before.
- Performed correlation tests and group mean evaluations using a linear mixed-effects model:

$$Surp \sim EssayScore + (1|Topic)$$

### Results

Score	Mean (Syn)	SD (Syn)	Mean (Lex)	SD (Lex)	Mean (Total)	SD (Total)
<i>Low</i>	2.46	.22	3.76	.29	6.22	.39
<i>Medium</i>	2.35	.17	3.75	.26	6.10	.34
<i>High</i>	2.27	.14	3.82	.24	6.09	.28

Surprisal Measure	$\rho$	t-value	p-value
<i>Syntactic</i>	-.39	-26.53	< .001
<i>Lexical</i>	.08	5.35	< .001
<i>Total</i>	-.15	-9.87	< .001

- Although all measures were found to be correlated, only Syntactic Surprisal had a high correlation coeff.

## Conclusion

- Inverse relation between surprisal values and essay scores, with Syntactic Surprisal most promising.

## Future Work

- How do findings vary across different datasets?
- Does greater processing complexity cause lower essay score?
- How important is training corpus used for computing surprisal?