Principles of Parallel Algorithm Design

Why is Parallel Computing Hard?

- Amdahl’s law – insufficient available parallelism –
  \[ \text{Speedup} = \frac{1}{\text{fraction}_{\text{enhanced}}/\text{speedup}_{\text{enhanced}} + (1-\text{fraction}_{\text{enhanced}})} \]
- Overhead of communication and coordination
- Portability – knowledge of underlying architecture often required

Parallel Programming Models

- Data parallel – HPF, Fortran-D, Power C/Fortran
- Shared memory - pthreads
- Message passing – MPI, PVM
- Global address space

Steps in the Parallelization

- Decomposition into tasks
  - Expose concurrency
- Assignment to processes
  - Balancing load and maximizing locality
- Orchestration
  - Name and access data
  - Communicate (exchange) data
  - Synchronization among processes
- Mapping
  - Assignment of processes to processors
Basics of Parallelization

- Dependence analysis
- Synchronization
  - Events
  - Mutual exclusion
- Parallelism patterns

When can 2 statements execute in parallel?

S1 and S2 can execute in parallel iff there are no dependences between S1 and S2:
- true dependences
- anti-dependences
- output dependences

Some dependences can be removed.

Types of Dependences

- True (flow) dependence – RAW
- Anti-dependence – WAR
- Output dependence – WAW

Loop-Carried Dependence

- A loop-carried dependence is a dependence that is present only if the statements occur in two different instances of a loop
- Otherwise, we call it a loop-independent dependence
- Loop-carried dependences limit loop iteration parallelization
Synchronization

• Used to enforce dependences
• Control the ordering of events on different processors
  – Events – signal(x) and wait(x)
  – Fork-Join or barrier synchronization (global)
  – Mutual exclusion/critical sections

Example 1: Creating Parallelism by Enforcing Dependences

```c
for( i=1; i<100; i++ ) {
  a[i] = ...;
  ...;
  ... = a[i-1];
}
```

• Loop-carried dependence, not parallelizable

Synchronization Facility

• Suppose we had a set of primitives, signal(x) and wait(x).
• wait(x) blocks unless a signal(x) has occurred.
• signal(x) does not block, but causes a wait(x) to unblock, or causes a future wait(x) not to block.

Example 1: Enforcing Dependencies (continued)

```c
for( i=...; i<...; i++ ) {
  a[i] = ...;
  signal(e_a[i]);
  ...;
  wait(e_a[i-1]);
  ... = a[i-1];
}
```
Example 1 (continued)

• Note that here it matters which iterations are assigned to which processor.
• It does not matter for correctness, but it matters for performance.
• Cyclic assignment is probably best.

Example 2: Enforcing Dependences

```c
for( i=0; i<100; i++ ) a[i] = f(i);
x = g(a);
for( i=0; i<100; i++ ) b[i] = x + h( a[i] );
```

• First loop can be run in parallel.
• Middle statement is sequential.
• Second loop can be run in parallel.

Example 2 (continued)

• We will need to make parallel execution stop after first loop and resume at the beginning of the second loop.
• Two (standard) ways of doing that:
  – fork() - join()
  – barrier synchronization

Fork-Join Synchronization

• fork() causes a number of processes to be created and to be run in parallel.
• join() causes all these processes to wait until all of them have executed a join().
Example 2 (continued)

```c
fork();
for (i=...; i<...; i++) a[i] = f(i);
join();
x = g(a);
fork();
for (i=...; i<...; i++) b[i] = x + h(a[i]);
join();
```

Eliminating Dependences

- Privatization or scalar expansion
- Reduction (common pattern)

Example: Scalar Expansion or Privatization

```c
for (I = 0; I < 100; I++)
    T = A[I];
    A[I] = B[I];
    B[I] = T;
```

Loop-carried anti-dependence on T
Eliminate by converting T into an array or by making T private to each loop iteration

Example: Scalar Expansion

```c
for (I = 0; I < 100; I++)
    T[I] = A[I];
    A[I] = B[I];
    B[I] = T[I];
```

Loop-carried anti-dependence eliminated
Removing Dependences: Reduction

\[
\text{sum} = 0.0;
\text{for( } i=0; i<100; i++ \text{ ) sum += a[i];}
\]

- Loop-carried dependence on sum.
- Cannot be parallelized, but ...

Reduction (continued)

\[
\text{for( } i=0; i<...; i++ \text{ ) sum[i] = 0.0;}
\text{fork();}
\text{for( } j=...; j<...; j++ \text{ ) sum[i] += a[j];}
\text{join();}
\text{sum = 0.0;}
\text{for( } i=0; i<...; i++ \text{ ) sum += sum[i];}
\]

Common pattern often with explicit support
\(\text{e.g., sum = reduce (+, a, 0, 100)}\)

CAVEAT: Operator must be commutative and associative

Decomposition Techniques

- Recursive
- Data
- Exploratory
- Speculative

Patterns of Parallelism

- Data parallelism: all processors do the same thing on different data
  - Regular
  - Irregular
- Task parallelism: processors do different tasks
  - Task graph vs. master-slave
  - Task queue
  - Pipelines
Data Parallelism

- Essential idea: each processor works on a different part of the data (usually in one or more arrays).
- Regular or irregular data parallelism: using linear or non-linear indexing.
- Examples: MM (regular), SOR (regular), MD (irregular).

Matrix Multiplication

- Multiplication of two n by n matrices A and B into a third n by n matrix C.

Matrix Multiply

```c
for( i=0; i<n; i++ )
    for( j=0; j<n; j++ )
        c[i][j] = 0.0;
for( i=0; i<n; i++ )
    for( j=0; j<n; j++ )
        for( k=0; k<n; k++ )
            c[i][j] += a[i][k]*b[k][j];
```

Parallel Matrix Multiply

- No loop-carried dependences in i- or j-loop.
- Loop-carried dependence on k-loop.
- All i- and j-iterations can be run in parallel.
Parallel Matrix Multiply (contd.)

- If we have P processors, we can give n/P rows or columns to each processor.
- Or, we can divide the matrix in P squares, and give each processor one square.

SOR

- SOR implements a mathematical model for many natural phenomena, e.g., heat dissipation in a metal sheet.
- Model is a partial differential equation.
- Focus is on algorithm, not on derivation.
- Discretized problem as in first lecture

Relaxation Algorithm

- For some number of iterations
  - for each internal grid point
    - compute average of its four neighbors
- Termination condition:
  - values at grid points change very little
  - (we will ignore this part in our example)

Discretized Problem Statement

```c
/* Initialization */
for( i=0; i<n+1; i++ ) grid[i][0] = 0.0;
for( i=0; i<n+1; i++ ) grid[i][n+1] = 0.0;
for( j=0; j<n+1; j++ ) grid[0][j] = 1.0;
for( j=0; j<n+1; j++ ) grid[n+1][j] = 0.0;
for( i=1; i<n; i++ )
  for( j=1; j<n; j++ )
    grid[i][j] = 0.0;
```
Discretized Problem Statement

for some number of timesteps/iterations {
    for (i=1; i<n; i++)
        for (j=1; j<n; j++)
            temp[i][j] = 0.25 *
                ( grid[i-1][j] + grid[i+1][j] + grid[i][j-1] + grid[i][j+1] );
    for (i=1; i<n; i++)
        for (j=1; j<n; j++)
            grid[i][j] = temp[i][j];
}

Parallel SOR

- No dependences between iterations of first (i,j) loop nest.
- No dependences between iterations of second (i,j) loop nest.
- Anti-dependence between first and second loop nest in the same timestep.
- True dependence between second loop nest and first loop nest of next timestep.

Parallel SOR (continued)

- First (i,j) loop nest can be parallelized.
- Second (i,j) loop nest can be parallelized.
- We must make processors wait at the end of each (i,j) loop nest.
- Natural synchronization: fork-join.

Parallel SOR (continued)

- If we have P processors, we can give n/P rows or columns to each processor.
- Or, we can divide the array in P squares, and give each processor a square to compute.