CSC 252: Computer Organization Spring 2021: Lecture 24

Instructor: Yuhao Zhu

Department of Computer Science University of Rochester

Announcements

- Lab5: <u>https://www.cs.rochester.edu/courses/252/spring2021/labs/</u> assignment5.html
- Released a virtual memory problem set; not to be turned in: <u>https://www.cs.rochester.edu/courses/252/spring2021/handouts.html</u>

SUN 25	MON 26	TUE 27	WED 28	29 Today	FRI 30	SAT May 1
2	3	4	₅ A5 Due	ہ Last Lecture	7	8
9	10	11	¹² Final	13	14	15

Keeping Track of Free Blocks

• Method 1: *Implicit list* using length—links all blocks



• Method 2: *Explicit list* among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: Segregated free list
 - Different free lists for different size classes



- Maintain list(s) of *free* blocks, not *all* blocks
 - The "next" free block could be anywhere
 - So we need to store forward/back pointers, not just sizes
 - These pointers exist only in free blocks, occupying the would-be payload area, so not really an overhead.
 - Still need boundary tags for coalescing.

Explicit Free Lists

• Logically:



• Physically: blocks can be in any order



Allocating From Explicit Free Lists





Freeing With Explicit Free Lists

- Insertion policy: Where in the free list do you put a newly freed block?
- LIFO (last-in-first-out) policy
 - Insert freed block at the beginning of the free list
 - Pro: simple and constant time
 - *Con:* studies suggest fragmentation is worse than address ordered
- Address-ordered policy
 - Insert freed blocks so that free list blocks are always in address order:

addr(prev) < addr(curr) < addr(next)</pre>

- Con: requires search
- *Pro:* studies suggest fragmentation is lower than LIFO

Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 1)

• Insert the freed block at the root of the list



Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 1)

• Insert the freed block at the root of the list



Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 2)

• Splice out successor block, coalesce both memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 2)

• Splice out successor block, coalesce both memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list





Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 3)

• Splice out predecessor block, coalesce both memory blocks, and insert the new block at the root of the list



Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 3)

• Splice out predecessor block, coalesce both memory blocks, and insert the new block at the root of the list





Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 4)

• Splice out predecessor and successor blocks, coalesce all 3 memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 4)

• Splice out predecessor and successor blocks, coalesce all 3 memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list





Explicit List Summary

- Comparison to implicit list:
 - Allocate is linear time in number of free blocks instead of all blocks. Much faster when most of the memory is full.
 - Slightly more complicated allocate and free since needs to splice blocks in and out of the list
 - Some extra space for the links in free blocks (2 extra words needed for each block).

Keeping Track of Free Blocks

• Method 1: Implicit list using length—links all blocks



• Method 2: *Explicit list* among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: Segregated free list
 - Different free lists for different size classes

Segregated List (Seglist) Allocators



- Each size class of blocks has its own free list
- Organize the Seglist
 - Often have separate classes for each small size
 - For larger sizes: One class for each two-power size (why?)

• Given an array of free lists, each one for some size class

- Given an array of free lists, each one for some size class
- To allocate a block of size *n*:
 - Search appropriate free list for block of size m > n
 - If an appropriate block is found:
 - Split block and place fragment on appropriate list (optional)
 - If no block is found, try next larger class
 - · Repeat until block is found

- Given an array of free lists, each one for some size class
- To allocate a block of size *n*:
 - Search appropriate free list for block of size m > n
 - If an appropriate block is found:
 - Split block and place fragment on appropriate list (optional)
 - If no block is found, try next larger class
 - Repeat until block is found
- If no block is found:
 - Request additional heap memory from OS (using sbrk())
 - Remember heap is in VM, so request heap memory in pages
 - Allocate block of *n* bytes from this new memory
 - Place remainder as a single free block in largest size class.

- Given an array of free lists, each one for some size class
- To allocate a block of size *n*:
 - Search appropriate free list for block of size m > n
 - If an appropriate block is found:
 - Split block and place fragment on appropriate list (optional)
 - If no block is found, try next larger class
 - Repeat until block is found
- If no block is found:
 - Request additional heap memory from OS (using **sbrk()**)
 - Remember heap is in VM, so request heap memory in pages
 - Allocate block of *n* bytes from this new memory
 - Place remainder as a single free block in largest size class.
- To free a block:
 - Coalesce and place on appropriate list

Advantages of Seglist allocators

- Higher throughput
 - Constant time allocation and free for requests that have a dedicated free list (most of the cases)
 - log time for power-of-two size classes (searching the lists)
- Better memory utilization
 - First-fit search of segregated free list approximates a best-fit search of entire heap.
 - Extreme case: Giving each block its own size class is equivalent to best-fit.

 So far we have been talking about explicitly memory management: programmers explicitly calling malloc/free (C/C++)

- So far we have been talking about explicitly memory management: programmers explicitly calling malloc/free (C/C++)
- Downside: potential memory leaks



- So far we have been talking about explicitly memory management: programmers explicitly calling malloc/free (C/C++)
- Downside: potential memory leaks



 Alternative: implicit memory management; the programmers never explicitly request/free memory

- So far we have been talking about explicitly memory management: programmers explicitly calling malloc/free (C/C++)
- Downside: potential memory leaks



- Alternative: implicit memory management; the programmers never explicitly request/free memory
- Common in many dynamic languages:
 - Python, Ruby, Java, JavaScript, Perl, ML, Lisp, Mathematica

- So far we have been talking about explicitly memory management: programmers explicitly calling malloc/free (C/C++)
- Downside: potential memory leaks



- Alternative: implicit memory management; the programmers never explicitly request/free memory
- Common in many dynamic languages:
 - Python, Ruby, Java, JavaScript, Perl, ML, Lisp, Mathematica
- The key: Garbage collection
 - Automatic reclamation of heap-allocated storage—application never has to free

• How does the memory manager know when certain memory blocks can be freed?

- How does the memory manager know when certain memory blocks can be freed?
 - If a block will never be used in the future. How do we know that?

- How does the memory manager know when certain memory blocks can be freed?
 - If a block will never be used in the future. How do we know that?
 - In general we cannot know what is going to be used in the future since it depends on program's future behaviors

- How does the memory manager know when certain memory blocks can be freed?
 - If a block will never be used in the future. How do we know that?
 - In general we cannot know what is going to be used in the future since it depends on program's future behaviors
 - But we can tell that certain blocks cannot possibly be used *if* there are no pointers to them

- How does the memory manager know when certain memory blocks can be freed?
 - If a block will never be used in the future. How do we know that?
 - In general we cannot know what is going to be used in the future since it depends on program's future behaviors
 - But we can tell that certain blocks cannot possibly be used *if* there are no pointers to them
 - Garbage collection is essentially to obtain all **reachable** blocks and discard unreachable blocks.

Memory as a Graph

- We view memory as a directed graph
 - Each block is a node in the graph
 - Each pointer is an edge in the graph
 - Locations not in the heap that contain pointers into the heap are called **root** nodes (e.g. registers, locations on the stack, global variables)



A node (block) is *reachable* if there is a path from any root to that node.

Non-reachable nodes are *garbage* (cannot be needed by the application)

Mark and Sweep Collecting

- Idea:
 - Use extra *mark bit* in the header to indicate if a block is reachable
 - Mark: Start at roots and set mark bit on each reachable block
 - Sweep: Scan all blocks and free blocks that are not marked



Note: arrows here denote memory refs, not free list ptrs.



Mark and Sweep Collecting

- Idea:
 - Use extra *mark bit* in the header to indicate if a block is reachable
 - Mark: Start at roots and set mark bit on each reachable block
 - Sweep: Scan all blocks and free blocks that are not marked



Note: arrows here denote memory refs, not free list ptrs.


- Idea:
 - Use extra *mark bit* in the header to indicate if a block is reachable
 - Mark: Start at roots and set mark bit on each reachable block
 - Sweep: Scan all blocks and free blocks that are not marked





- Idea:
 - Use extra *mark bit* in the header to indicate if a block is reachable
 - Mark: Start at roots and set mark bit on each reachable block
 - Sweep: Scan all blocks and free blocks that are not marked





- Idea:
 - Use extra *mark bit* in the header to indicate if a block is reachable
 - Mark: Start at roots and set mark bit on each reachable block
 - Sweep: Scan all blocks and free blocks that are not marked





- Idea:
 - Use extra *mark bit* in the header to indicate if a block is reachable
 - Mark: Start at roots and set mark bit on each reachable block
 - Sweep: Scan all blocks and free blocks that are not marked





• Garbage Collection in C is tricky.

- Garbage Collection in C is tricky.
- How do you know a pointer is a pointer? After all, a pointer is just a 8-byte value. Any consecutive 8 bytes could be disguised as a pointer.

- Garbage Collection in C is tricky.
- How do you know a pointer is a pointer? After all, a pointer is just a 8-byte value. Any consecutive 8 bytes could be disguised as a pointer.
 - Must be conservative. Any 8 bytes whose values fall within the range of the heap must be treated as a pointer.

- Garbage Collection in C is tricky.
- How do you know a pointer is a pointer? After all, a pointer is just a 8-byte value. Any consecutive 8 bytes could be disguised as a pointer.
 - Must be conservative. Any 8 bytes whose values fall within the range of the heap must be treated as a pointer.
- C pointers can point to the middle of a block. How do you find the header of a block?

- Garbage Collection in C is tricky.
- How do you know a pointer is a pointer? After all, a pointer is just a 8-byte value. Any consecutive 8 bytes could be disguised as a pointer.
 - Must be conservative. Any 8 bytes whose values fall within the range of the heap must be treated as a pointer.
- C pointers can point to the middle of a block. How do you find the header of a block?



- Garbage Collection in C is tricky.
- How do you know a pointer is a pointer? After all, a pointer is just a 8-byte value. Any consecutive 8 bytes could be disguised as a pointer.
 - Must be conservative. Any 8 bytes whose values fall within the range of the heap must be treated as a pointer.
- C pointers can point to the middle of a block. How do you find the header of a block?
 - Can use a balanced binary tree to keep track of all allocated blocks (key is start-of-block)



- Garbage Collection in C is tricky.
- How do you know a pointer is a pointer? After all, a pointer is just a 8-byte value. Any consecutive 8 bytes could be disguised as a pointer.
 - Must be conservative. Any 8 bytes whose values fall within the range of the heap must be treated as a pointer.
- C pointers can point to the middle of a block. How do you find the header of a block?
 - Can use a balanced binary tree to keep track of all allocated blocks (key is start-of-block)



Left: smaller addresses Right: larger addresses

- Can build on top of malloc/free function
 - Call malloc until you run out of space. Then malloc will call GC.



- Can build on top of malloc/free function
 - Call malloc until you run out of space. Then malloc will call GC.
 - Stop-the-world GC. When performing GC, the entire program stops. Some calls to malloc will take considerably longer than others.



- Can build on top of malloc/free function
 - Call malloc until you run out of space. Then malloc will call GC.
 - Stop-the-world GC. When performing GC, the entire program stops. Some calls to malloc will take considerably longer than others.



• To minimize main application (called mutator) pause time:

- Can build on top of malloc/free function
 - Call malloc until you run out of space. Then malloc will call GC.
 - Stop-the-world GC. When performing GC, the entire program stops. Some calls to malloc will take considerably longer than others.



- To minimize main application (called mutator) pause time:
 - Incremental GC: Examine a small portion of heap every GC run

- Can build on top of malloc/free function
 - Call malloc until you run out of space. Then malloc will call GC.
 - Stop-the-world GC. When performing GC, the entire program stops. Some calls to malloc will take considerably longer than others.



- To minimize main application (called mutator) pause time:
 - Incremental GC: Examine a small portion of heap every GC run
 - Concurrent GC: Run GC service in a separate process/thread

- GC is a great source of performance non-determinisms
 - Generally can't predict when GC will happen

- GC is a great source of performance non-determinisms
 - Generally can't predict when GC will happen
 - Stop-the-world GC makes program periodically unresponsive

- GC is a great source of performance non-determinisms
 - Generally can't predict when GC will happen
 - Stop-the-world GC makes program periodically unresponsive
 - Concurrent/Incremental GC helps, but still has performance impacts

- GC is a great source of performance non-determinisms
 - Generally can't predict when GC will happen
 - Stop-the-world GC makes program periodically unresponsive
 - Concurrent/Incremental GC helps, but still has performance impacts
 - Bad for real-time systems: think of a self-driving car that needs to decide whether to avoid a pedestrian but a GC kicks in...

- GC is a great source of performance non-determinisms
 - Generally can't predict when GC will happen
 - Stop-the-world GC makes program periodically unresponsive
 - Concurrent/Incremental GC helps, but still has performance impacts
 - Bad for real-time systems: think of a self-driving car that needs to decide whether to avoid a pedestrian but a GC kicks in...
 - Bad for server/cloud systems: GC is a great source of *tail latency*



• Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)

- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
 - After M&S, compact allocated blocks to consecutive memory region.
 - Reduce external fragmentation. Allocation is also easier.

- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
 - After M&S, compact allocated blocks to consecutive memory region.
 - Reduce external fragmentation. Allocation is also easier.



- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
 - After M&S, compact allocated blocks to consecutive memory region.
 - Reduce external fragmentation. Allocation is also easier.



- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
 - After M&S, compact allocated blocks to consecutive memory region.
 - Reduce external fragmentation. Allocation is also easier.



- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
 - After M&S, compact allocated blocks to consecutive memory region.
 - Reduce external fragmentation. Allocation is also easier.



- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
- Mark-copy collection (Minsky, 1963)

- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
- Mark-copy collection (Minsky, 1963)
 - After mark, copy reachable objects to another region of memory as they are being traversed. Can be done without auxiliary storage.

- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
- Mark-copy collection (Minsky, 1963)
 - After mark, copy reachable objects to another region of memory as they are being traversed. Can be done without auxiliary storage.
- Generational Collectors (Lieberman and Hewitt, 1983)

- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
- Mark-copy collection (Minsky, 1963)
 - After mark, copy reachable objects to another region of memory as they are being traversed. Can be done without auxiliary storage.
- Generational Collectors (Lieberman and Hewitt, 1983)
 - Observation: most allocations become garbage very soon ("infant mortality"); others will survive for a long time.

- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
- Mark-copy collection (Minsky, 1963)
 - After mark, copy reachable objects to another region of memory as they are being traversed. Can be done without auxiliary storage.
- Generational Collectors (Lieberman and Hewitt, 1983)
 - Observation: most allocations become garbage very soon ("infant mortality"); others will survive for a long time.
 - Wasteful to scan long-lived objects every collection time

Jones and Lin, "Garbage Collection: Algorithms for Automatic Dynamic Memory", 1996.

- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
- Mark-copy collection (Minsky, 1963)
 - After mark, copy reachable objects to another region of memory as they are being traversed. Can be done without auxiliary storage.
- Generational Collectors (Lieberman and Hewitt, 1983)
 - Observation: most allocations become garbage very soon ("infant mortality"); others will survive for a long time.
 - Wasteful to scan long-lived objects every collection time
 - Idea: divide heap into two generations, young and old. Allocate into young gen., and promote to old gen. if lived long enough. Collect young gen. more often than old gen.

- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
- Mark-sweep-compact collection (Styger, 1967)
- Mark-copy collection (Minsky, 1963)
 - After mark, copy reachable objects to another region of memory as they are being traversed. Can be done without auxiliary storage.
- Generational Collectors (Lieberman and Hewitt, 1983)
 - Observation: most allocations become garbage very soon ("infant mortality"); others will survive for a long time.
 - Wasteful to scan long-lived objects every collection time
 - Idea: divide heap into two generations, young and old. Allocate into young gen., and promote to old gen. if lived long enough. Collect young gen. more often than old gen.
- Question: Can any of these algorithms be used for GC in C?

Jones and Lin, "Garbage Collection: Algorithms for Automatic Dynamic Memory", 1996.

- All the GC algorithms described so far are tracing-based
 - Start from the root pointers, trace all the reachable objects
 - Need graph traversal. Different to implement.

- All the GC algorithms described so far are tracing-based
 - Start from the root pointers, trace all the reachable objects
 - Need graph traversal. Different to implement.
- Reference counting (Collins, 1960)
 - Keep a counter for each object
 - Increment the counter if there is a new pointer pointing to the object
 - Decrement the counter if a pointer is taken off the object
 - When the counter reaches zero, collect the object
- All the GC algorithms described so far are tracing-based
 - Start from the root pointers, trace all the reachable objects
 - Need graph traversal. Different to implement.
- Reference counting (Collins, 1960)
 - Keep a counter for each object
 - Increment the counter if there is a new pointer pointing to the object
 - Decrement the counter if a pointer is taken off the object
 - When the counter reaches zero, collect the object
- Advantages of Reference Counting
 - Simpler to implement
 - Collect garbage objects immediately; generally less long pauses

- All the GC algorithms described so far are tracing-based
 - Start from the root pointers, trace all the reachable objects
 - Need graph traversal. Different to implement.
- Reference counting (Collins, 1960)
 - Keep a counter for each object
 - Increment the counter if there is a new pointer pointing to the object
 - Decrement the counter if a pointer is taken off the object
 - When the counter reaches zero, collect the object
- Advantages of Reference Counting
 - Simpler to implement
 - Collect garbage objects immediately; generally less long pauses
- Disadvantages of Reference Counting
 - A naive implementation can't deal with self-referencing

- All the GC algorithms described so far are tracing-based
 - Start from the root pointers, trace all the reachable objects
 - Need graph traversal. Different to implement.
- Reference counting (Collins, 1960)
 - Keep a counter for each object
 - Increment the counter if there is a new pointer pointing to the object
 - Decrement the counter if a pointer is taken off the object
 - When the counter reaches zero, collect the object
- Advantages of Reference Counting
 - Simpler to implement
 - Collect garbage objects immediately; generally less long pauses
- Disadvantages of Reference Counting
 - A naive implementation can't deal with self-referencing

- All the GC algorithms described so far are tracing-based
 - Start from the root pointers, trace all the reachable objects
 - Need graph traversal. Different to implement.
- Reference counting (Collins, 1960)
 - Keep a counter for each object
 - Increment the counter if there is a new pointer pointing to the object
 - Decrement the counter if a pointer is taken off the object
 - When the counter reaches zero, collect the object
- Advantages of Reference Counting
 - Simpler to implement
 - Collect garbage objects immediately; generally less long pauses
- Disadvantages of Reference Counting
 - A naive implementation can't deal with self-referencing
- A heterogeneous approach (RC + tracing) is often used

Today

- From process to threads
 - Basic thread execution model
- Multi-threading programming
- Hardware support of threads
 - Single core
 - Multi-core
 - Hyper-threading
 - Cache coherence

Programmers View of A Process

• Process = process context + code, data, and stack



A Process With Multiple Threads

- Multiple threads can be associated with a process
 - Each thread has its own logical control flow
 - Each thread shares the same code, data, and kernel context
 - Each thread has its own stack for local variables
 - but not protected from other threads
 - Each thread has its own thread id (TID)



Shared code and data



Descriptor table

brk pointer

Logical View of Threads

- Threads associated with process form a pool of peers
 - Unlike processes which form a tree hierarchy



Concurrent Threads

- Two threads are *concurrent* if their flows overlap in time
- Otherwise, they are sequential



Concurrent Thread Execution

- Single Core Processor
 - Simulate parallelism by time slicing

- Multi Core Processor
 - Threads can have true parallelisms



Threads vs. Processes

- How threads and processes are similar
 - Each has its own logical control flow
 - Each can run concurrently with others (possibly on different cores)
 - Each is context switched, controlled by kernel

Threads vs. Processes

- How threads and processes are similar
 - Each has its own logical control flow
 - Each can run concurrently with others (possibly on different cores)
 - Each is context switched, controlled by kernel
- How threads and processes are different
 - Threads share all code and data (except local stacks)
 - Processes (typically) do not
 - Threads are less expensive than processes
 - Space: threads share the same virtual address space except stacks, but processes have their own virtual address space
 - Process control (creating and reaping) twice as expensive
 - Typical Linux numbers:
 - $\cdot\,$ ~20K cycles to create and reap a process
 - · ~10K cycles (or less) to create and reap a thread

Posix Threads (Pthreads) Interface

- Pthreads: Standard interface for ~60 functions that manipulate threads from C programs
 - Creating and reaping threads
 - pthread_create()
 - pthread_join()
 - Determining your thread ID
 - pthread_self()
 - Terminating threads
 - pthread_cancel()
 - pthread_exit()
 - exit() [terminates all threads], return() [terminates current thread]
 - Synchronizing access to shared variables
 - pthread_mutex_init
 - pthread_mutex_[un]lock

```
/*
 * hello.c - Pthreads "hello, world" program
 */
#include "csapp.h"
void *thread(void *vargp);
int main()
{
    pthread_t tid;
    Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, NULL);
    Pthread_join(tid, NULL);
    exit(0);
}
hello.c
```

```
void *thread(void *vargp) /* thread routine */
{
    printf("Hello, world!\n");
    return NULL;
}
hello.
```

```
/*
 * hello.c - Pthreads "hello, world" program
 */
#include "csapp.h"
void *thread(void *vargp);
int main()
{
    pthread_t tid;
    Pthread_create(&tid, NULL, thread, NULL);
    Pthread_join(tid, NULL);
    exit(0);
}
```

```
void *thread(void *vargp) /* thread routine */
{
    printf("Hello, world!\n");
    return NULL;
}
hello.
```



```
void *thread(void *vargp) /* thread routine */
{
    printf("Hello, world!\n");
    return NULL;
}
hello.c
```



```
void *thread(void *vargp) /* thread routine */
{
    printf("Hello, world!\n");
    return NULL;
}
```



```
void *thread(void *vargp) /* thread routine */
{
    printf("Hello, world!\n");
    return NULL;
}
hello.c
```



Execution of Threaded "hello, world"

Main thread

Execution of Threaded "hello, world"

Main thread

call Pthread_create()

Execution of Threaded "hello, world"



Execution of Threaded "hello, world" Main thread call Pthread_create() **Peer thread**











