# HOTL: A Higher Order Theory of Locality

Chen Ding University of Rochester

with Xiaoya Xiang, Bin Bao and Hao Luo

# The Story of a Theory

- An empirical observation
  - "During any interval of execution, a program favors a subset of its pages, and this set of favored pages changes slowly"
    -- Peter Denning
  - The 80-20 rule
  - The law of diminishing returns
- How to quantify?
  - the locality of a program or an operation?
  - what are "primary" metrics?
- Two example quantities
  - data volume and reuse time
    - the connection is the key

#### **Locality Statistics I**

#### **Miss Ratio**



Article Discussion

#### **CPU** cache

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



#### **Cache Performance for SPEC CPU2000 Benchmarks**

Version 3.0

May 2003

Jason F. Cantin Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering 1415 Engineering Drive University of Wisconsin-Madison Madison, WI 53706-1691 jcantin@ece.wisc.edu http://www.jfred.org

> Mark D. Hill Department of Computer Science 1210 West Dayton Street University of Wisconsin-Madison Madison, WI 53706-1685 markhill@cs.wisc.edu http://www.cs.wisc.edu/~markhill

http://www.cs.wisc.edu/multifacet/misc/spec2000cache-data



Article Discussion

#### **CPU** cache

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



## **Locality Statistics II**

### **Reuse Distance**

(i.e. LRU stack distance [Mattson et al. IBM 1970])

# **Reuse** Distance

- Reuse distance of an access to x
  - the number of distinct data accessed after the previous access to x
- Reuse distance (rd) vs reuse time (rt)
- Distribution function P(rd) and P(rt)
  - the distribution of all rd and rt
  - rt:  $\infty \infty \infty 4 2 4$ • P(rd=3) = 2/7
  - rd:  $\infty \infty \infty 3 1 2 3$ • P(rt=3) = 0/7abcaac
- P(rd) determines the LRU miss ratio
  - mr(3) = P(rd>3) = 3/7
  - Smith formula to account for associativity
- Not enough to model cache sharing

## **Locality Statistics III**

# Footprint

[Ding, Xiang et al. PPOPP 2008/11, PACT 11]

# Footprint

- Amount of data access in an execution period
  - fp(w): average footprint of ALL windows of length w
  - length-n trace,  $O(n^2)$  windows
    - 1 billion accesses, half quintillion windows
- Example: "abbb"
  - 3 length-2 windows: "ab", "bb", "bb"
    - footprints 2, 1, 1
  - the average fp(2) = (2 + 1 + 1)/3 = 4/3
- Example "xyz xyz ..."
  - fp(i) = i for 0 <= i <= 3
  - fp(i) = 3 for i > 3

# Footprint Measurement

- Working set
  - limit value in an infinitely long trace [Denning & Schwartz 1972]
- Direct counting
  - single window size [Thiebaut & Stone TOCS'87]
    - seminal paper on footprints in shared cache
  - same starting point [Agarwal & Hennessy TOCS'88]
- Statistical approximation
  - [Denning & Schwartz 1972; Suh et al. ICS'01; Berg & Hagersten PASS'04; Chandra et al. HPCA'05; Shen et al. POPL'07]
  - level of precision couldn't be quantified
- Recent precise definition/solutions [Xiang+ PPOPP'11, PACT'11]
  - footprint distribution, O(n log m) [Xiang et al. PPOPP'11]
  - footprint function, O(n) [Xiang et al. PACT'11]

# **Higher-order Locality Theory**

# HOTL Conversion

#### The Xiang formula for fp [PACT'11]

- rt: reuse time
- m: data size
- n: trace length

$$fp(x) \approx m - \sum_{k=x+1}^{n-1} (k-x) P(rt=k)$$

$$mr(c) = mr(fp(x)) = \frac{fp(x+\Delta x) - fp(x)}{\Delta x}$$

$$P(rd = c) = mr(c - 1) - mr(c)$$



# Two HOTL Theories



**Denning's Law of Locality** The working set is the second-order sum of the reuse frequency, and conversely, the reuse frequency is lefinition. the second-order difference of the working set. they related / derivable. sequences Ding, HOTL theory, University of Rochester

asurement, get one, get all.

$$fp(x) \approx m - \sum_{k=x+1}^{n-1} (k-x) P(rt = k)$$

HOTL conversion formulas

$$mr(c) = mr(fp(x)) = \frac{fp(x+\Delta x) - fp(x)}{\Delta x}$$

$$P(rd = c) = mr(c - 1) - mr(c)$$



# HOTL for Cache



# **Reuse Window Hypothesis**

The footprints in **all reuse windows** have the same distribution as the footprints in **all windows** 

# Modeling of Cache Sharing w/o Parallel Testing

- 20 SPEC 2006 programs
  - 190 different pair runs
- Modeling
  - per program footprint
  - composition
    - Xiang et al. (POPP'11/PACT'11) based on Chandra et al.
      - (HPCA'05), Suh et al. (ICS'01), and Thiebaut & Stone (TOCS'87)
  - a few hours
    - prediction for all cache sizes
- Measurement
  - 190 pair runs
  - 380 hw counter reads (OFFCORE.DATA\_IN, 8MB 16-way L3)
  - ~9 days total CPU time





Chen Ding, HOTL theory, University of Rochester

# Summary of the Theory

#### Locality metrics

- miss rate in hardware
- reuse distance and footprint in a program
- The higher-order theory of locality
  - mutual conversion
  - may all be computed from reuse time
    - Denning's law, the Xiang formula
- Correctness
  - theoretical
    - reuse-window hypothesis
  - empirical
    - "half and half" in pair-run tests

# Recent Developments

- Parallel reuse distance measurement
  - cluster [OSU, IPDPS 2012]
  - GPU [ICT and NCSU, IPDPS 2012]
  - sampling
    - footprint shadow sampling [this paper]
    - multicore reuse distance [Purdue, PACT 2010]
- Reuse distance in threaded code
  - multicore reuse distance [Purdue, PACT 2010]
  - CRD/PRD scaling [Maryland, ISCA 2013, to appear]
- Shared footprint [Rochester, WODA 2013]
- Static reuse distance analysis in Matlab [Indiana, ICS 2010]
- Static footprint analysis [Rochester, CGO 2013]