CSC2/458 Parallel and Distributed Systems High-level Parallel Programming Models

Sreepathi Pai March 6, 2018

URCS

 $\mathsf{Open}\mathsf{MP}$

OpenMP

- What can be executed in parallel?
 - Parallelization
- Where and when should be it executed?
 - Scheduling
 - Synchronization

- Parallelization
 - Threading
 - Multiprocessing
- Scheduling
 - Manual (thread pinning, etc.)
- Synchronization
 - Manual (locks, barriers, etc.)

- Make parallel programming easy
 - for parallel programmers
- Without "overburdening"
 - Programming language designers
 - Compiler writers
 - Computer architects

- Identify parallel patterns
 - Embarrassingly parallel
 - Reductions
 - Producer–Consumer
 - etc.
- Provide structures for these parallel patterns
 - e.g. parallel for loop forall
 - Programmers use these structures
- Execute in parallel
 - Implement structures using lower-level programming models

$\mathsf{Open}\mathsf{MP}$

- Aimed at shared memory multithreaded programming
 - Within a single process and single computer node
- Usually coupled with Message Passing Interface (MPI)
 - MPI is used for parallelism across processes and machines
 - Not required for OpenMP programs, will discuss MPI in distributed systems

- $\bullet~C/C{++}$ and Fortran support
 - Must be supported by compiler
 - gcc/clang both support OpenMP
- Supports programmer-created threads
 - But do not need to use them

```
void vector_add(int *a, int *b, int *c, int N) {
   for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
      c[i] = a[i] + b[i]
   }
}</pre>
```

How would you parallelize this loop using pthreads?

- Create T threads
- Distribute N/T work to each thread
 - N is size of array (or work, or loop iterations, etc.)
- Pattern
 - Embarrassingly Parallel
 - Data Decomposition
 - Also called "map" pattern

```
#include <omp.h>
void vector_add(int *a, int *b, int *c, int N) {
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        c[i] = a[i] + b[i]
    }
    /* implicit barrier after loop*/
}</pre>
```

```
void vector_sum(int *a, int N) {
    int sum = 0;
    for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        sum += a[i];
    }
}</pre>
```

How would you parallelize this loop using pthreads?

- Create T threads
- Distribute N/T work to each thread
 - N is size of array (or work, or loop iterations, etc.)
- Wait until each thread computes sum
- Compute the sum of these individual sums (recursively and in parallel)
- Pattern
 - Reduction
 - Requires associative operator
 - Also called "reduce"

```
void vector_sum(int *a, int N) {
    int sum = 0;
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        sum += a[i];
    }
}</pre>
```

Correct?

```
void vector_sum(int *a, int N) {
    int sum = 0;
    #pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:sum)
    for(int i = 0; i < N; i++) {
        sum += a[i];
    }
    /* OpenMP aggregates sum across all threads */
}</pre>
```

Other operators also supported: +, *, -, max, min, &, &&, etc.

- Thread creation
 - #pragma omp parallel
 - (without for)
- Critical Sections
 - #pragma omp critical
- Many others
 - Usually better integrated with $C/C{++}$ than pthreads
- Other "task parallel" constructs too

OpenMP

- Also C/C++
- Two keywords:
 - cilk_spawn
 - cilk_sync

```
int fib(int n)
{
    if (n < 2)
        return n;
    int x = fib(n-1);
    int y = fib(n-2);
    return x + y;
}</pre>
```

Fibonacci calculation in Cilk

```
int fib(int n)
{
    if (n < 2)
        return n;
    int x = cilk_spawn fib(n-1);
    int y = fib(n-2);
    cilk_sync;
    return x + y;
}</pre>
```

- cilk_spawn will allow fib(n-1) to execute in parallel with fib
- cilk_sync will wait for all spawns from *current* function to finish
 - All functions contain an implicit cilk_sync at exit
- cilk_spawn is like fork, cilk_sync is like join
 - However, these are suggestions, may not actually execute in parallel

- cilk_spawn creates two tasks
 - Actually one task, and one *continuation*
- How are these tasks mapped to cores?
 - Tasks can produce other tasks

- Assume each core has a deque (double-ended queue)
- Tasks spawned (and their continuations) are added to the deque of a core
 - And pulled off the **head** of deque and executed
- Will this keep all cores busy?

- Assume core runs out of tasks
- It randomly picks another core
 - And pulls off a task from the **tail** of the dequeue and executes it
- Will this keep all cores busy?

- Apparently not a good idea in Cilk
 - Why?

```
cilk_for (int i = 0; i < 8; ++i)
{
     do_work(i);
}
cilk_sync;</pre>
```

Cilk/Cilkplus material from the Cilkplus tutorial