CSC2/458 Parallel and Distributed Systems Termination Detection

Sreepathi Pai April 12, 2018

URCS

Ring termination (Dijkstra et al.)

Ring termination (Dijkstra et al.)

- Passive: process is waiting for a message or is done
- Active: process is not passive (i.e. executing)
- Terminated: all processes are passive AND no messages are in transit

Main challenge: Can't observe all processes at the same instant

- All processes observed so far (in set X) may be passive
- But a process q yet unobserved may send a message to a process p in X
 - turning p active when it receives the message
 - (but we have moved on, observing *p* was passive!)
- And then q can turn passive itself

- Ensure all processes are passive
- And no process observed as passive will ever turn active

Is detecting termination similar to detecting something else?

Ring termination (Dijkstra et al.)

- No messages are lost
- Only active processes can send messages
- Receipt of a message reactivates a passive process
- There is a ring structure
 - $P_0, P_1, P_2, P_{n-1}, P_0$
 - for termination messages, communication only between P_i and P_{i-1}
 - other messages can have any other topology

- Uses a token per detection
- Termination detection launched by a single process P_0
 - Sends token when it is passive
 - P_0 sends token to P_{n-1}
 - P_{n-1} sends token to P_{n-2} only when it is passive
- To avoid "pseudo-termination" (i.e. passive when receiving token, but can be reactivated later), a token is given a colour
 - Initially white
 - If token is still white at end of complete journey of ring, termination has occurred

When a process that has not seen a token is about to send a message:

- it does not know where the token is
- this lack of knowledge causes issues
 - can result in "pseudo-termination"







- All processes are also given a colour
 - Initially, white
- Consider a process P_j not visited by the token
 - It may send a message to P_k reactivating it
 - What if *k* < *j*?
 - What if k > j?

- What if k > j?
 - Change process colour to black
- If a white token encounters a white process, it forwards a white token
- In all other cases, it forwards a black token
- Once a token is forwarded, the colour of the process is reset to white

Ring termination (Dijkstra et al.)

What did we use Misra's algorithm for last time?

- Useful for detecting loss of mutual exclusion token
- Useful for termination detection
 - much simpler

- No messages are lost
- All messages between two processes are received in order
- A marker is continously circulated
 - And contains a number *n*
- All processes have a colour
 - Initially, black

- Assume a ring
- A marker is sent only by an passive process
- A marker colours a process white when it leaves
- A process turns black if it is activated
- How should the *n* inside the token behave?

- Assume processes are connected in an arbitrary graph
 - Vertices represent processes
 - Edges represent communication
- I.e. processes x and y have different communication channel than
- What complication does this create (vis-a-vis the ring?)
 - How can we solve it?

- Assume a strongly connected network
 - There exists a cycle in this network that includes every edge at least once
- Precompute this cycle, of length *c*
 - When marker is forwarded, it is sent on next edge of this cycle
 - Termination detected when n == c

- Do we have to precompute the cycle?
 - Can't we do this when forwarding the marker?
- Can't we forward markers in a distributed fashion?
 - How to choose edges?

- A depth first search of an undirected graph constructs the cycle in which all edges are present
- See paper for the rest
 - Misra, Jayadev, "Detecting Termination of Distributed Computations using Markers", PODC 1983