CSC2/455 Software Analysis and Improvement Live variable analysis

Sreepathi Pai

URCS

January 28, 2019

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Outline

Review

Live Variable Analysis

Live Variables using Iterative Data flow Analysis

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Postscript

Outline

Review

Live Variable Analysis

Live Variables using Iterative Data flow Analysis

Postscript

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

What we know how to do so far

Basic blocks to Control Flow Graphs
 Section 5.4.3 in Cooper and Turczon
 Local optimizations (Value Numbering)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Outline

Review

Live Variable Analysis

Live Variables using Iterative Data flow Analysis

Postscript

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Motivation

```
void example(int x) {
    int a = 0;
    int b = 0;
    if(x > 1) {
        a = b;
    } else {
        a = x;
    }
    return a;
}
```

Must the compiler emit code to initialize a to 0?

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

Motivation

```
void example(int x) {
    int a = 0;
    int b = 0;
    if(x > 1) {
        a = b;
    } else {
        a = x;
    }
    return a;
}
```

a is *defined* (i.e. written) thrice in the code above. Which definitions are "alive" at return a?

(日) (문) (문) (문) (문)

Terminology

- A variable x is live at a definition (i.e. a write) if there is a subsequent read of the variable along a program path from that definition.
- Can we identify live variables just by analyzing basic blocks (i.e. local analysis)?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

More Motivation(?)

```
void test() {
    int i;
    // begin delay
    for(i = 0; i < 10000; i++);
    // end delay
}</pre>
```

(□) (@) (E) (E) E

GCC without optimization

test(): push rbp mov rbp, rsp DWORD PTR [rbp-4], 0 mov .L3: DWORD PTR [rbp-4], 9999 cmp jg .L4 add DWORD PTR [rbp-4], 1 jmp .L3 .L4: nop rbp pop ret

Code courtesy Compiler Explorer at godbolt.org (this is more convenient than gcc -Wa if you have the Internet)

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

GCC with -O3

test(): ret

Code courtesy Compiler Explorer at godbolt.org

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

Example 1

x = 0; if(y > 0) x = 2; else x = 3;

printf("%d\n", x);



Example 2

```
x = 0
i = 0
while(i++ < 10) {
    if(i % 3 == 0) {
        x = 0;
    }
    x = x + 1;
}
```

- Which definition(s) of x are live at entry of loop?
- Which definition(s) of x are live in the loop?
- Which definition(s) of x are live at exit of loop?



Relook at the Loop example

```
i = 1;
L1:
    if(!(i < 10000)) goto L2;
    i++;
    goto L1;
L2:
    return
```

Where in the CFG must i be "dead" to eliminate it?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Some Definitions

For a basic block s:

• DEF(s) (for "defined") is the set of variables written by s

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

USE(s) is the set of variables read by s

For the blocks below, what are the DEF and USE sets?

```
BB_eg_0:
    i = i + 1
BB_eg_1:
    a = x;
    x = 3;
BB2_eg_2:
    t = a:
```

Effects of definitions and reads

```
BB_eg_3:
a = x;
x = y;
```

- What happens to a definition of a in a basic block that is before BB_eg_3 on some path through the CFG?
- What can we say about definitions of y in other basic blocks that are before BB_eg_3 on some path through the CFG?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

More definitions

Upwardly exposed UE(s) are those variables that are read before they are written to in basic block s.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

- A variable is alive in a basic block if it is in the set:
 ?
- A variable is killed in a basic block if it is in the set:
 ?

Finding out if a definition is live

- Start from the definition, and see if it "reaches" a use
 i.e., it is not killed on *some* path (in the CFG) to a use
- Start from a use, and walk backwards to see if you can reach the definition

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

Repeat for all uses

When does enumerating paths work in practice?

A More Practical Way: Iterative Data flow Analysis

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

- Setup data flow equations
- Solve these data flow equations

Outline

Review

Live Variable Analysis

Live Variables using Iterative Data flow Analysis

◆□▶ ◆舂▶ ◆注≯ ◆注≯ □注□

Postscript

Equations for Live Variables

- Remember that each basic block has "incoming" edges (from predecessors) and "outgoing" edges (to successors).
- When is an "incoming" variable live "into" a block?
 - it is in UE for that block
- When is a variable live out of a block?
 - it is live into a successor block
 - ▶ first try: LIVEOUT(n) = $\cup_m UE(m)$ (where $m \in succ(n)$)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

what are we missing?

 $LIVEOUT(n) = \bigcup_{m \in succ(n)} UE(m) \cup (LIVEOUT(m) \cap \overline{DEF(m)})$

The variables live out of block n must:

- be upwardly exposed in a successor m
- ▶ OR be live out of *m* and NOT be killed by *m* (i.e. $\overline{\text{DEF}(m)}$)
 - ▶ note this is equivalent to (LIVEOUT(m) DEF(m))

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

How would you begin solving this equation?

Iterative Data flow Analysis Algorithm for Live Variables

Live variables is a backwards dataflow analysis

'Facts" flow from a node's successors to it

Initialization:

- Compute DEF(s) for all blocks s
- Compute UE(s) for all blocks s
- Set LIVEOUT(s) = \emptyset for all s
- Repeat (iterate):
 - Compute LIVEOUT(s) for all blocks s
 - Until no set LIVEOUT(s) changes i.e. until a fixpoint is reached.

Termination and correctness

Termination

Note LIVEOUT(s) only increases in size or remains the same in every iteration

What is the maximum size of LIVEOUT(s)?

Correctness

When the algorithm terminates, all computed LIVEOUT(s) sets satisfy the equation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆注▶ ◆注▶ 注 のへで

and hence meet the definition of "liveness".

Quality of solution?

How does the iterative solution differ from the path-based solution?



Outline

Review

Live Variable Analysis

Live Variables using Iterative Data flow Analysis

Postscript

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

References

Chapter 8 of Cooper and Turczon

Section 8.6.1

Also recommended:

Aho, Lam, Sethi and Ullman, Chapter 9, Section 9.2.5

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで