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Data Availability

- Data-driven applications
  - Web search, online message board, collaborative document editing, electronic banking, ... ...
- Availability of data is important
  - After a node fails but before it restarts
  - What if a node permanently fails and has to be replaced?

Data Replication

- Replication
  - Maintain multiple copies of data at replicated servers
  - Many clients read/write; each write is done at all servers; each read is served by one
- Benefits
  - Data availability and durability over failures
  - Performance
- Introduce consistency issues (illusion of single data copy)
  - Convergence of replicated state
  - Consistent client views at concurrent client accesses

Replication Consistency

- Convergence of replicated state
  - All replicas agree on the same data at stabilization
    - also called eventual consistency
- Consistent client views at concurrent client accesses
  - Sequential consistency: if there exists a hypothetical sequential order of all operations from all clients such that
    - returned value in a read operation is that written by last write in the sequential order,
    - the sequential order matches the order of operations from each client.
Replication in Shared-Memory Multiprocessor

- Shared-memory multiprocessor
  - Data is replicated in memory and processor caches
- How to achieve consistency?
  - Bus snooping (invalidate/update a local copy if the data is modified at another replica)
  - Assume operations from each client proceed serially in program order

Replication in Distributed Systems

- A group of distributed machines connected by network
- Can we use the bus snooping?
- Synchronous writes to all replicas
  - Lock up relevant data during writes (like barriers)
  - Two-phase commits
- Primary-secondary replication
  - Writes initiated only at the primary, ordered and distributed to secondaries asynchronously
  - Where are reads served?
  - Performance and scalability?
  - Fault tolerance?

Scalable Distributed Data Structures [Gribble et al. OSDI2000]

- "Synchronous writes to all replicas" does not necessarily sacrifice performance/scalability.
  - In databases with complex semantics, we often have to lock too much data for a write, then block too many reads.
  - If data unit of each write is simply defined, over-locking is not a problem.
- Consider hash table with write(key,value) and read(key)
  - Simple semantics, well defined read/write data units
  - Powerful enough to support many data access semantics
- Abstract data management (and its scalability, availability, consistency) into simple data structures.

Weak Consistency

- Sequential consistency (strong consistency): if there exists a hypothetical sequential order of all operations such that
  - returned value in a read operation is that written by last write in the sequential order
  - the sequential order matches the order of operations from each client
  - Poor performance/scalability
- Weak consistency:
  - Consistent from a single client's point of view (read own writes, monotonic reads)
  - Also called session consistency
**Bayou [Terry et al. SOSP1995]**
- A group of loosely connected mobile devices
- Writes are spread around, eventually reaching everyone
- **Eventual consistency**
  - Writes are executed at all nodes, in the same order
  - Write (X) is tentatively executed; when write (Y) with earlier order arrives, X is undone, Y is done, and then X is redone
  - How do we know X is settled forever?
- **Session consistency** (read own writes, monotonic reads)
  - Writes are locally performed right away
  - Reads are locally performed

**Porcupine [Saito et al. SOSP1999]**
- Tentative writes and undo are nasty
- If all writes are commutative, then they can be executed at different replicas in any order.
  - Adding to a set
  - Timestamped total object overwrites
    - a write is performed if it follows all committed writes on the object
    - a write is ignored if it precedes any already committed write on the object
- Only satisfies the convergence of replicated state, not consistent client views at concurrent client accesses
- Implement a highly scalable replicated email system on a cluster of machines

**Chain Replication [van Renesse and Schneider OSDI2004]**
- All replicas organized in a chain:
  - writes go to the head, and then flow through the chain, and replies are sent at the tail
  - reads performed at the tail
- Satisfy strong (sequential) consistency
- Add node at the tail
- Failure management: simple due to the clear structure
- Performance and scalability?